

LIGO Detector and Hardware Introduction

Virtual Open Data Workshop May 2020

LIGO-G2000795

LIGO Virgo KAGBA

LIGO-India

Outline

- Introduction
- Interferometry Locking Optical cavities



- Hardware
- Noise
 - Fundamental Technical





- Commissioning/Observation Runs
 - Future Detector Plans
 - Bibliography



Gravitational Waves

• Metric tensor perturbation in GR $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

2 polarization in GR

LIGO

Scalar and other possible waves

• Free falling masses

- Change in laser propagation time < h
- Phase difference in light $\propto L h$
- Interferometry detects phase difference
- Astronomical Sources

 Modeled vs Unmodeled
 Short vs Long
 Known vs Unknown

Modeling /Length	Modeled	Unmodeled
Short	Inspirals (BBH, BNS, BH/NS)	Bursts (Supernova)
Long	Continuous Waves (Pulsars)	Stochastic Background

Sensitivity Estimate

- Strain from single photon: $h \cong \frac{\lambda/2}{L} = 10^{-10}$
- Need strain 10⁻²²
- Shot noise SNR $\propto N/\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{N}$
- 10¹² improvement, 10²⁴ photons
 At 100 Hz equivalent to power of 20 MW





 With 200 W of input laser power, requires power gain of 100,000
 Total optical gain in LIGO is ~50,000
 Ignores other noise sources

LIGO Gravitational Wave Detector Network

CONTEGO European Gravitational Observatory



GEO 600: Germany



Virgo: Italy

CNrs

INEN

KAGRA: Japan

Interferometry

- Book by Peter Saulson
- Michelson interferometer Fringe splitting
- Fabry-Perot arms Cavity pole, $f_c = c/_{4LF}$





- Pound-Drever-Hall locking
 Match laser frequency to cavity length
 - RF modulation with EON
- Feedback and controls

Other LIGO Cavities



Mode cleaners

 Input and output
 Single Gauss-Laguerre mode

 Power recycling

 Output dark fringe
 Reflect light back to IFO
 Low finesse, gain

Signal recycling

LIGO

Additional mirror at output port Reflectivity and position tuning of frequency response

Dual recycled



Hardware

- Arm length, 4 kilometers
 Vert:Horiz coupling ~10⁻⁴ over 4 km
- Vacuum $\sim 10^{-8} 10^{-9}$ torr
- Same as initial LIGO (2000s)





 Seismic isolation: 6 deg of freedom Hydraulic actuation, external Active isolation, in vacuo Lower frequency than iLIGO

Suspensions

Hangs from seismic isolation Quadruple pendulums Silica fibers in final stage Better isolation and lower thermal noise than iLIGO



Hardware

Optical Coatings and Thermal Noise

in Precision

Gregory Harry Tenochy P. Dodiga

saren Daßel

10-22

strain (1//Hz)

Measurement

- Optics/Test Masses

 40 kg silica, 35 cm diameter
 Beamsplitter, recycling mirrors
 Higher mass than iLIGO
- Optical Coating
 Titania-doped tantala/silica
 1 ppm absorption

Lower thermal noise than iLIGO





Frequency (Hz)

Quantum noise Coating Brownian noise

Total noise

10³

Coating Thermo-optic noise

Coating thermal

sensitivity between ~

noise will limit

40 and 200 Hz

10²

Fundamental Noise

- Sensitive band 10 Hz 5000 Hz
- Seismic, ≤ 10 Hz Microseism, wind, Earth tides Newtonian noise, anthropogenic noise



(ZH) 10⁴ (ZH) 1

Thermodynamics Suspensions, coatings, mirrors Quantum Heisenberg uncertainty of test mass Shot noise, radiation pressure/back reaction

Squeezed light to get around Heisenberg

Technical Noise

- Residual gas, facility limits
- Non-Gaussian noise Glitches



Frequency and amplitude laser noise



 Environmental noise Magnetic fields, RF, acoustic Electronics noise Parametric instability High optical power can cause loss lock **Centennial Book** Reitze, Saulson, Grote

Commissioning

- Commissioning effort gives improvements to noise over time
- Alternate between data taking and commissioning
 Fixes and improvements





- Often involves increasing laser power
 - Managing optical power major challenge
 - Causes changes to detectors

Noise Curves

- Optical power increases
- Technical noise in many frequency bands



Narrowband noise
 Line noise (60 Hz)
 Calibration lines
 Violin mode
 resonances
 Other resonances
 Frequency combs

Observation Runs

- Observing run 1: O1 9/2015-1/2016
 BNS L 80 Mpc H 70 Mpc, LIGO Duty cycle 65%
- O2: 11/2016-8/2017
 BNS L 100 Mpc H 80 Mpc
 2 IFO Duty cycle 80%
- O3a: 4/2019-9/2019
 BNS L 140 Mpc H 115 Mpc
 3 IFO Duty cycle 45%
- O3b: 11/2019-3/2020*
 BNS L 135 Mpc H 120 Mpc
 3 IFO Duty cycle 50%



LIGO India

- Spare LIGO hardware Built three copies of all hardware
- Localization and triangulation More detectors give better source positioning







- Site chosen and being prepared Aundha, Maharashtra state
- 2025 operation goal
- Network range
 BNS 330 Mpc



BNS Localization: HLV

LIGO



S. Fairhurst, "Improved source localization with LIGO India", J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 484 012007

LIGO BNS Localization: HILV



S. Fairhurst, "Improved source localization with LIGO India", J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 484 012007

LIGO Future Advanced LIGO Plans

- Further observation runs
 O4 was planned to start January 2022
 Planned/expected BNS 165-190 Mpc
- Commissioning breaks
 Was 20 months starting May 2020





O5 plans

- Was late 2024
- 2 year+ run
- Expect design sensitivity, BNS 200 Mpc
 - KAGRA online

Future Detectors

• A+ upgrade

Frequency dependent squeezing, 16 m filter cavity Coating upgrade to lower thermal noise

Was starting May 2020
 Now, ???
 Was ending 2023







Future Detectors

LIGO Voyager
 Best detector in current facilit
 Cryogenic, silicon mirrors
 5X range of O5 LIGO
 At soonest, 2028





- Cosmic Explorer
 10X range of O5 LIGO
 Mid-2030s, 40 km arms, USA
- Einstein Telescope Triangle geometry, 10 km arms Underground, Europe

Bibliography

Peter Saulson, "Fundamentals of Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detectors", 2nd Edition, World Scientific (2017).

"Optical Coatings and Thermal Noise in Precision Measurement", Ed. G. Harry, T. Bodiya R. DeSalvo, Cambridge University Press (2011).

"Advanced Interferometric Gravitational-Wave Detectors", Vol. 1 and 2, Ed. P. Saulson, D. Reitze, H. Grote, World Scientific (2019).

Abbott, B. P., et al. "GW150914: The Advanced LIGO Detectors in the Era of First Discoveries." Physical Review Letters **116.13** (2016): 131103, <u>P1500237</u>.

"Advanced LIGO", The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **32** (2015) 074001, <u>P1400177</u>.

"Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector", The Virgo Collaboration, Classical and Quantum Gravity **32**, (2015) 024001.

LIGO Personal Introduction

- PhD 1999 University of Maryland Resonant mass detector, ie Weber bar
- Postdoc at Syracuse with Peter Saulson Thermal noise, early work on optical coatings









- Postdoc and Research Scientist with LIGO
 Laboratory at MIT with David Shoemaker
 Continuing coatings and thermal noise work
 LASTI prototype, suspensions testing, charging
 Advanced LIGO Coating Scientist and LSC Optics Chair
- American University faculty AlGaAs coatings for future detectors Stochastic review committee Training of undergraduates

LIGO Early History of GW Detection

• **Einstein** A. Einstein, *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (Berlin, 1916), 688696; *Sitzungsberichte der Kniglich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (Berlin, 1918), 154167.

General Relativity 1916, GW 1918

• 1957 Chapel Hill Conference Possibility of detection



- John Wheeler
- Joe Weber: Resonant mass detectors, unconfirmed detection

The Role of Gravitation

 Early interferometry MIT: Weiss, Glasgow: Drever, Munich: Rudiger



History of LIGO



- 1970-80s: Separate projects: Caltech (Thorne, Drever) and MIT (Weiss)
- 1983: LIGO design study (Blue Book)
- 1989: LIGO Proposal, Mark I and II
- 1991: Funding for Initial LIGO
- 1997: LIGO Scientific Collaboration (Barish)
- 2001-2007: Initial LIGO Science Runs
- 2010: Decommissioning of Initial LIGO, Beginning of installation for Advanced LIGO
- 2015: First aLIGO observing run, GW150914

LIGO Organization of LIGO

LIGO Laboratory

Campuses: Caltech and MIT Sites: Livingston and Hanford LIGO India





Director/Principle Investigator: David Reitze, Al Lazzarini dep. • LIGO Scientific Collaboration (LSC)



Patrick Brady: UWM

LIGO Laboratory Click version Clic





Individual Groups, large and small
 Working groups on hardware, astronomical searches, internal review committees
 Spokesperson, Council, hierarchy, structure influenced by high energy and astronomy
 Virgo and KAGRA

Antenna Pattern

- Source localization not a strength of GW detectors
- Sensitivity dependence on source direction
- Depends on GW polarization and frequency







Data

 Most data recorded at 16,384 Hertz
 LOSC data channel 4096 Hertz
 Both GW channel and auxiliary and environment monitor channels
 Some at 2048 Hertz

GPS-locked timing







The Complete

Lopp

16 384

Calibration





- Feedback response function, magnitude & phase
 Changes each commissioning step
 About 1% accuracy
- Photon calibrator, radiation pressure provides calibration
- Continuous calibration lines during data taking update calibration
 15 Hz, 430 Hz, 1080 Hz in O3
- Future Newtonian gravity calibrator
 - Prototyping at Hanford



Outline

- Introductions
- History
- Gravitational Waves
- Organization









LSC



- Interferometry
- Hardware
- Noise



Commissioning/Observation Runs

LIGO

Future Detector Plans