

Gravitational wave detection with light and atom interferometry

Holger Müller, UC Berkeley





Atom interferometry

Interferometry







Atomic fountain



Mach-Zehnder Atom Interferometer







Highest-precision conventional atom interferometer



Each data point is from a single launch

Fit error 0.031rad, determines g to 1.3ng

=>11ng/sqrt(Hz)

[H. M. et al., PRL 100, 031101 (2008), K.Y. Chung, PRD 2009]



Technology



Large momentum transfer



H. M. et al., PRL 100 (2009): Chiow et al, PRL 2009



Problem: Contrast decay









Results

0.4

0.5



H. M. et al., PRL 102, 240403 (2009)



Coriolis force

 $\vec{\delta} = 4nv_r \Omega_{\oplus} T(T+T') \cos \vartheta(1,0,0).$



- Interferometer does not close
- Cancellation improves contrast (350%), T
- World's most sensitive atom interferometer (10 hk, 250 ms)

Lan et al., PRL 108, 090402 (2012)

BBB interferometers



- 1: dual lattice (Matter wave accelerator)
- 2: single Bragg
- 3: quadruple lattice
- 4: dual Bragg

Large velocity difference can be used test PNO(4) while cancelling PNO(2)

H. M. et al., PRL 100 (2009)



- Electron-nuclear coherences, localized excitations in molecules, CARS
- Based on existing 1 kHz, 80 mJ, 100 eV source in Belkacem lab
- Use Li or Ne, later C₆₀, NaH, LiH, CO, NO,...



DARPA RA-12-12: Quantum Science and Technology Holger Müller, UC Berkeley Cavity-based atomic rotation and acceleration sensor



Honeywell

Key insight / innovation

- Cavity: High intensity
- Very large momentum transfer
- Mode filtering
- Compact
- Extremely precise
- Fully coherent atom-optics
- Low power laser



- Operation independent of gravity
- Operates in any orientation
- Tiny setup, full performance

comparable to best 1-m fountain

• High (10 Hz) data rate

Application

- Inertial navigation
- Low drift quantum sensor
- Field conditions of
- acceleration and rotation
- Full 6-axis inertial base





Size comparison (trajectories to scale, cavity length ~ 30 cm)



Applications

α from recoil measurements

$$\alpha^{2} = \frac{c}{2} f_{rec} R_{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{f_{D2}^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{Cs}}{m_{u}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{u}}{m_{e}} \right)$$

$$0.03 \text{ppb} \qquad 0.44 \text{ppb}$$

$$0.007 \text{ppb} \qquad 0.20 \text{ppb}$$

 α from electron g-2



¹Department of Physics, 366 Le Conte Hall MS 7300, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA. ³Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, USA. ³Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Hausvogteiplatz 5-7, 10117 Berlin, Germany. ⁴US Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, District of Columbia 20585, USA.

A precision measurement of the gravitational redshift by the interference of matter waves

Holger Müller^{1,2}, Achim Peters³ & Steven Chu^{1,2,4}

One of the central predictions of metric theories of gravity, such as general relativity, is that a clock in a gravitational potential U will run more slowly by a factor of $1 + U/c^2$, where c is the velocity of light, as compared to a similar clock outside the potential¹. This effect, known as gravitational redshift, is important to the operation of the global positioning system², timekeeping^{3,4} and future experiments with ultra-precise, space-based clocks5 (such as searches for variations in fundamental constants). The gravitational redshift has been measured using clocks on a tower⁶, an aircraft7 and a rocket8, currently reaching an accuracy of 7×10^{-5} . Here we show that laboratory experiments based on quantum interference of atoms^{9,10} enable a much more precise measurement, yielding an accuracy of 7×10^{-9} . Our result supports the view that gravity is a manifestation of space-time curvature, an underlying principle of general relativity that has come under scrutiny in connection with the search for a theory of quantum gravity11. Improving the redshift measurement is particularly important because this test has been the least accurate among the experiments that are required to support curved spacetime theories¹.



Figure 2 | Absolute determinations of the gravitational redshift. The accuracy (defined as the standard error) in β is plotted versus the relative height of the clocks.

H. M. et al., Nature 463 (2011)

G

Equivalence Principle and Gravitational Redshift

Michael A. Hohensee,^{1,*} Steven Chu,^{1,†} Achim Peters,² and Holger Müller¹

¹Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ²Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstrasse 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany (Received 17 February 2011; published 11 April 2011)

We investigate leading order deviations from general relativity that violate the Einstein equivalence principle in the gravitational standard model extension. We show that redshift experiments based on matter waves and clock comparisons are equivalent to one another. Consideration of torsion balance tests, along with matter-wave, microwave, optical, and Mössbauer clock tests, yields comprehensive limits on spin-independent Einstein equivalence principle-violating standard model extension terms at the 10^{-6} level.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.151102

PACS numbers: 04.80.-y, 03.30.+p, 11.30.Cp, 12.60.-i

TABLE I. Sensitivity of redshift experiments. The EEP-violation signal for each experiment is given as a linear combination of SME parameters. The observable for the Pound-Rebka Mössbauer test, e.g., is $-1.1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}\alpha(\bar{a}_{\text{eff}}^n)_0 - 1.1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}\alpha(\bar{a}_{\text{eff}}^{e+p})_0 + (-0.34 + [-0.06])(\bar{c}^n)_{00} + (-0.34 + [-0.006])(\bar{c}^p)_{00} + 0.0002(\bar{c}^e)_{00}$, with $\bar{a}_{\text{eff}}^{e+p} = \bar{a}_{\text{eff}}^p + \bar{a}_{\text{eff}}^e$. The last column shows the measured value and 1σ uncertainty. Signals dependent on models for ξ are in square brackets. Curly brackets mark expected limits.

Method	$lpha(ar{a}^n_{ ext{eff}})_0$ GeV	$lpha(ar{a}_{ ext{eff}}^{e+p})_0$ GeV	$(\bar{c}^n)_{00}$	$(\bar{c}^p)_{00}$	$(\bar{c}^e)_{00}$	Limit ppm
Mössbauer effect [2]	-1.072	-1.072	0.3358 - [2/3]	-0.3353 - [0.006]	0.000 182 6	1000 ± 7600
H maser on rocket [3]	-1.072	-1.072	0.3358	0.3353 - [0.67]	0.0001826 - [1.3]	2.5 ± 70
Cs fountain (proj.) [16]	-1.072	-1.072	0.3358 + [0.40]	0.34 + [0.28]	0.0001826 - [1.3]	{2}
Bloch oscillations [4,17]	0.1632	-0.1580	-0.05112 - [0.0005]	0.04940 + [0.0010]	0.000 026 90	3 ± 1
Bloch oscillations [6]	0.1492	-0.1439	-0.04673 - [0.0006]	0.04500 + [0.0008]	0.000 024 51	0.16 ± 0.14
Cs interferometer [4]	0.1881	-0.1835	-0.05890 - [0.0004]	0.05739 + [0.001]	0.000 031 26	0.007 ± 0.007
Rb interferometer [18]	0.1632	-0.1580	-0.05112 - [0.0005]	0.04940 + [0.001]	0.000 026 90	-0.004 ± 0.007

Bottom line

	$(a^{n}_{eff})_{0}$	$(a^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{eff}})_0 + (a^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{eff}})_0$	(<i>c</i> ⁿ _{eff}) ₀₀	$(c^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{eff}})_{00}$	$(c^{e}_{eff})_{00}$
	GeV	GeV			
Clocks+UFF	-3±53	-1±11	-5±94	2.1±40	-1±40
AI+clocks+UFF	4.3±3.7	0.8±1.0	7.6±6.7	-3.3±3.5	4.6±4.6
+ future space clocks	-1.4±3.7	-0.3±1.0	-2.4±6.8	0.9±3.5	0.5±1.5

- The AI tests GR like conventional clocks
- improves overall bounds ~10-fold

Hohensee et al., PRL 106, 151102 (2011)

Gravity's Aharonov-Bohm effect



- Terrestrial experiment: $\rho = 10 \text{ g/cm}^3$, R=10 m: $\Delta \nu / \nu = 5 \times 10^{-21}$
- Possible realization: Earth-moon Lagrange points



Nature's elementary clock



Compton clock



Clock based on entire rest mass



Frequency Comb



 $f_n = nf_r + f_{offset}$



[1] Theodor Hänsch, Nobel Lecture, http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/2005/hansch-lecture.html [2] J. Hall, Nobel Lecture, http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/2005/hall-lecture.html

Compton clock







n273Average-1.873 HzStandard error:1.4 ppb χ^2 1.7Systematics3.5 ppbTotal error3.9 ppb

$$\omega_r = \omega_c / 2nN^2$$

Confirmed to 4 ppb accuracy => Masses are fully practicable clocks

Timing Weight









4 ppb

30 ppb

- Define h (GPMFC intended)
- kg: 31 ppb with present data
- AMU: 100-fold improvement compared to present Si

Atomic gravitational wave detection



- "Mirrors" are atoms
- Have few degrees of freedom:
- no thermal noise,
- no radiation pressure noise
- no vibration isolation

Contents

- Basics: a. gravity wave detection
 b. Atom interferometers
- 2. Atomic gravitational wave interferometric sensor (AGIS)
- 3. Optimized AGIS
- 4. Sources
- 5. Challenges
- 6. Outlook

Principles of Operation







Atomic gravitational wave interferometric sensor (AGIS)



• "Mirrors" are atoms

• Have few degrees of freedom: no thermal noise, no radiation pressure noise

- Almost perfect free fall, no vibration isolation
- Distance measurement based on quantum mechanics
- Sensitivity below 1 Hz
- New technology, at the beginning of a development

AGIS: example



Homestake gold mine: DUSEL



•Remote site, 3km deep. May be sufficiently low noise.

•Collaboration with Mark Kasevich to build demonstrator instrument

Optimization

Sensitivity

$$h_{\rm rms} = \frac{1}{2nkL\sin^2(\omega T/2)\sqrt{\eta}},$$

Low-frequency limit

$$h_{\rm rms}^{\rm LF} = \frac{2}{nkL\omega^2 T^2\sqrt{\eta}}.$$

Optimizing T, n

$$T_{\rm opt} = \sqrt{\frac{2L_{\rm Tube}}{5g}},$$
$$n_{\rm opt} = \frac{2L_{\rm Tube} - gT^2}{4Tv_r},$$
$$h_{\rm rms}^{\rm LF,opt} = \frac{25v_r\sqrt{5g}}{2kL_{\rm Tube}^{5/2}w^2\sqrt{2\eta}}.$$

AGIS sensitivity



Galactic Binaries



Neutron Star Binaries



Galactic core sources



Extragalactic sources



Summary with optimized AGIS



Proposals

An Atomic Gravitational Wave Interferometric Sensor in Low Earth Orbit (AGIS-LEO)

Jason M. Hogan, David M. S. Johnson, Susannah Dickerson, Tim Kovachy, Alex Sugarbaker, Sheng-wey Chiow, Peter W. Graham, and Mark A. Kasevich* Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

Babak Saif

Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

Surject Rajendran

Center for Theoretical Physics, Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA and Physics Department, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

Philippe Bouyer Laboratoire Charles Fabry de l'Institut d'Optique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Paris Sud 11, Institut d'Optique Graduate School, RD 128, 91127 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Downard D. Cooner Les Deinhaus and Diter Verli Verla



Interferometer in Space for Detecting Gravity Wave Radiation using Lasers (InSpRL)





igure 4: Master Oscillator Fiber Laser for Atom Interferometry.



InSpRL sensitivity



Concept of Atomic Disturbance Reduction System

Atom Interferometers for LISA DRS (aDRS)

<u>Big Idea</u>: Truly drag-free atomic proof masses for LISA's Disturbance Reduction System (DRS).

Approach: Atomic acceleration reference based atom-wave interferometry using laser-cooled free fall atoms.

<u>Concept</u>: Use atomic inertial sensors to replace the LISA accelerometers by measuring relative accelerationinduced displacements between ideal drag-free atoms and spacecraft accelerometers.

<u>Goal</u>: Reduce/eliminate spacecraft drag-free requirement and the associated complexity, risk, and

cost, while potentially increase

Challenges

Large momentum transfer: 1000's of hk

High-frequency sensitivity

Atom sources

Most noise sources affect AI just as LIGO

Important exception: Mirror seismic noise

Gravity gradient noise
s=0.36
Rayleigh waves
$$u = C \left(-0.85e^{-qkz} + 1.5e^{-sk(x_3)}\cos k(ct - x)\right)$$

$$a_t - a_b = \pi \rho G b \frac{L}{\lambda}$$

λ

Accelerations

Strain noise

$$h = \frac{\rho G b}{2\omega c}$$

 $2x10^{-14}$ / rt(Hz) at 10 mHz (14,000 times as large as AGIS noise), $2x10^{-16}$ /rt(Hz) at 100 mHz (1000 times as large)

Mitigation •monitoring?

- more than two clouds
- correlation

Laser noise / mirror motion

- Laser noise: nearly same influence as in LIGO
- Small differences due to aliasing favor LIGO
- Al is much less sensitive to mirror motion



Wavefront distortions



- Local phase variations picked up by atoms
- In LIGO, they tend to average out to higher degree
- Atom poistion changes aggravate this => need very cold atoms

Challenges

- 1. 1000 photon beam splitters
- 2. Common-mode rejection of vibrations
- 3. Atom sources
- 4. Low-noise detection of atoms
- 5. (Squeezing)
- 6. Ultra low wavefront distortion optics
- 7. High-power, ultra-low phase noise lasers
- 8. Large setup

Conclusion

- LMT, Simultaneous, BBB, Coriolis compensation
- α , inertial sensors, tests of GR
- gravitational AB effect, Compton clock, Mass standard
- Basic GW sensor: principles, comparison to LIGO
- Proposals on Earth and in Space
- Challenges and possible solutions

Time is an illusion, lunchtime doubly so Douglas Adams

$$\Psi = e^{i\frac{mc^2}{\hbar}t}\psi$$

A single massive particle is Nature's elementary clock

Compton clock Postdocs: S.-y. Lan, M. Hohensee, D. English Grad students: P.-C. Kuan, B. Estey,

EEP

Postdocs: P. Hamilton Grad student: G. Kim,

Lorentz invariance Postodc: M. Hohensee Grad student: F. Monsalve

Phase contrast TEM Postdoc: M. Xu Grad Student: E. Sohr

Cavity, AB effect Postdoc :J. M. Brown Grad student: B. Estey





XUV atom interferometer Postdoc :Paul Hamilton









