

# Suspensions/Isolation Working Group

---

LSC 13 August 98  
David Shoemaker

## **Hanford LSC (March 98)**

- volunteers to organize white paper: Gonzalez, Hough, Giaime, How, Johnson, Saulson, Shoemaker

## **Gatherings/activities since**

- Perugia: Thermal Noise and low-frequency noise sources (June 98)
- LISA Symposium, Caltech (July 98)
- monthly phone calls with group above (and honorary member Eric Gustafson)

## **Common activities focussed around LIGO interferometer evolution**

- '2004' LIGO II advanced subsystems (principal focus)
  - > multiple pendulum suspension
  - > moderate improvements in Q
  - > associated control changes (e.g., external active system)
- Advanced LIGO
  - > large masses, high Qs, low F seismic isolation
  - > too early for conceptual designs
  - > 'what is crossover frequency with gravity gradient limit?'

# White paper

---

## **Sources and design guides or 'metrics'**

- work with Sam Finn and his program to calculate Binary seeing distance vs. interferometer parameters
- further discussions, esp. with Kip Thorne; more later today
- difficult to make near-term solutions (2004) which see binaries often
- difficulty is clearly in thermal noise

## **Baseline for LIGO II**

- LIGO I stacks to remain substantially in place
- fused silica best choice of materials
- test masses to stay roughly LIGO I size (25 cm dia, 11 kg)
- new substrates by necessity; provides opportunity for optics changes
- new system to be installed in 2004 after significant engineering testing

## **Strawman for LIGO II**

- multiple pendulum at test mass; probably triple (to give needed vertical isolation)
- all fused-silica construction (test mass, fibers, connections) for bottom pendulum
- enough stiffness (4 fibers) to allow all quasi-static positioning/angles to be adjusted from previous pendulum stages
- an absolute minimum of actuation on the test mass (with hopes for zero), and certainly no magnets
- a pre-isolation system to reduce control-band noise before it gets to the stack (using independent sensors and feedback/forward)

# Design process/parts

---

## **Top level requirements**

- like the Science Requirements Document (SRD) Curve for LIGO I

## **Internal Requirements**

- flow-down to  $Q$ s, isolation, control authority, etc.

## **Configuration/trade studies**

- how many pendulums?
- what lengths?
- what kinds of actuators?

## **Controls research**

- how to distribute the control authority

## **Thermal noise/excess noise research**

- how to realize the potential of fused silica

## **Isolation design**

- how to realize required vertical and horizontal GW-band isolation

## **System tests**

- tests at LIGO-like sensitivity levels of performance
- tests for interfaces, installation
- no more than what is needed

## **Timeline, distribution of effort, groups/people**

# At this meeting

---

## **Integrate science and realities gathered at Workshop**

- activities/groups neglected?
- new insights which change focus?

## **Deal with any redundancies/holes in research program**

- discuss tasks one by one
  - > are they necessary? how do they fit into the overall plan?
  - > do we have the right (collaborative) effort applied?
- say no to repeated tasks, look for donors for uncovered ones
- check balance/focus of LIGO II vs LIGO III
  - > are we working on solutions with long lifetimes?
  - > are we preparing long-lead technologies?

## **Leave with a white paper draft ready for sharing with wide audience**

- final draft of subsection for Sept 1