### Delayed mergers: The contribution of ellipticals, globular clusters, and protoclusters to the LIGO detection rate

Aug 16, 2005

#### Richard O'Shaughnessy (with O'Leary, Fregeau, Kalogera, Rasio, Ivanova, Belczynski)

Northwestern University

LIGO-G050446-00-Z

# Outine

- Prompt mergers and blue light
- Delayed mergers in ellipticals
  - Elliptical galaxies
  - Delayed mergers in ellipticals
  - LIGO rate

References: Regimbau et al, gr-qc/0506058

- Delayed mergers from globular clusters
  - Globular clusters
  - Model: Mass segregation and mergers

LIGO rate

<u>References</u>: Portegeis Zwart and McMillan 1998 O'Leary et al 2005, astro-ph/0508224

- Delayed mergers from protoclusters
  - Cluster formation & stripping/disruption
  - GC initial and present mass function
  - LIGO rate

# LIGO merger rate from spirals

### • Blue luminosity:

- assume mergers are fast
- Compact objects: from short-lived massive (blue) stars
- ... blue light traces merger rate



# **Delayed mergers**

- <u>Some</u> mergers <u>can</u> take Gyr
- Blue light **not** reflective of merger rate?
  - spirals: already accounted for
  - <u>everything else</u>:

...any other star formation must be included



### Star formation history

### ...and most stars form long ago

#### **Experiment**

QuickTime™ and a None decompressor are needed to see this picture.



Schneider et al, MNRAS 324 797 Pei, Fall, Hauser ApJ 522 604 Madau astro-ph/9907268

Hernquist and Springel, MNRAS 341 1253

<u>Theory</u>

- Elliptical galaxies
  - Big:

 $\begin{array}{l} M_{elliptical} \sim 2 \quad x \; 10^{11} \, M_O \\ M_{spiral} \; \sim 0.9 \; x \; 10^{11} \, M_O \end{array}$ 

[some get very large]

### - **Old**:

- Most stars form early on
- Less blue light now (per unit mass)

### – Uncommon:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_{elliptical} & \thicksim 0.0025 \ / \ Mpc^3 \\ \rho_{spiral} & \thicksim 0.01 \ / \ Mpc^3 \end{array}$ 

Heyl et al MNRAS 285 613

Portegeis Zwart & McMillan ApJ 528 L17

- Merger distribution from quick burst
  - Predict via standard pop-synth

(**same code** as for spirals)



- NS-NS merger rate
  - per canonical elliptical
  - scaled to merger rate for MW

(works w/ any popsyn assumptions)



 Alternate approach: <u>Regimbau et al</u> (gr-qc/0506058)

+ Better elliptical model

(flatter IMF; fit to observed)

- + Ad-hoc popsyn
- + Fixed popsyn model

.... details scarce in paper

QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and a TIFF (LZW) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

t (Gyr)

- NS-NS merger rate
  - Possibly significant: O(20%-2x) correction
     ellipticals ~ 5x less common than spirals

disagreement over population synthesis results (galaxy-by-galaxy basis)

• ...similarly for BH-BH, BH-NS

### Ellipticals could matter

# Mergers from Globular Clusters

- Globular clusters
  - Old

~ same age as galaxy [O(10 Gyr)] [though some "young" GCs are seen]

– Small M ~ 2 x 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>o</sub> \* 10<sup>±1</sup>

– Common [density]
 ρ ~ 3 / Mpc<sup>3</sup>

### - Dense (interacting !)

- relaxation time  $\sim 10^2 10^3 \text{ Myr}$
- mass segregation t ~ t<sub>relax</sub>\* <m>/m<sub>BH</sub>~ 10-100 Myr

# Mergers from Globular Clusters

- Decoupled BH subcluster
  - Subcluster forms [relaxation time]
  - Fast evolution and interactions [BH relaxation time!]
    - Form and eject binaries (3-body interactions, Kozai, etc)
    - Many late mergers

       (~ 1/2 of all mergers)
  - Ejected binaries <u>eventually</u> merge
    - ... rate ~ 1/t
    - ... 10<sup>-4</sup> mergers/M<sub>o</sub>
    - [=rate ~ cluster mass]

Plot:

rate for  $5x10^{6}M_{O}$  cluster 512 BH initially....  $5x10^{3}M_{O}$  in BHs



# Mergers from Globular Clusters

- LIGO-II rate : **simplified** calculation
  - Short range:
    - Merger rate [~1/t] is ~ constant ~ 3\*10<sup>-10</sup>/yr
    - LIGO range:

 $D = 191 \text{ Mpc} (M_c/1.2 M_O)^{5/6}$ 

- BH masses:
  - assume M ~ 14+14 Msun

(conservative!)

Result:

R<sub>GC</sub> ~ 3/yr

(~ prediction from spirals)

## Comments

- <u>Compare with Portegeis-Zwart & McMillan</u>: ...similar; they miss 1/t
- Limitations?:
  - Large clusters only: minimum mass for process
  - Competing effect (runaway collisions) ?
  - Cluster modelling (velocity dispersion)
  - Need birth masses of GC
- Higher chirp masses (vs from spirals):
  - Flatter IMF ("primordial"/salpeter)
  - All BHs formed contribute
  - Binary formation biased to high mass
- Birth time effect:
  - Weak
  - More recent formation (z~1) increases by only ~ x2

[using <u>present</u> masses]

[..M=14 is conservative]

### Comments

Details....

### O'Leary et al, astro-ph/0508224



- Evolving mass distribution:
  - Birth distribution consistent with

$$p_b(M)dM \propto rac{dM}{M^2} e^{-M/M_*}$$
  
M<sub>\*</sub>= 5x10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>O</sub>

- Present distribution roughly

 $p_b(M)dM \propto M dM e^{-M/M_*}$ M<sub>\*</sub>= 0.6x10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>O</sub>



Fall and Zhang, ApJ 561, 751

- <u>Scaling up</u>:
  - Process requires M>10<sup>5</sup>
  - Rate ~ mass
  - For clusters M>10<sup>5</sup>
    - M<sub>now</sub> :Total mass in **all** clusters M>10<sup>5</sup>
    - M<sub>birth</sub> :Total mass in **all** clusters M>10<sup>5</sup>



- Optimistic model
  - 10% of baryons form stars
  - 30% stars form in clusters early
  - $\sim 50\%$  of cluster mass in clusters >  $10^5 M_{\odot}$ 
    - ... with 20+20  $M_{O}$  (ignoring redshifting & band issues)
      - R ~ 10<sup>3</sup>/yr [possibly slightly more]
- <u>Consistency</u>?
  - Problem...consistency w/ GC distribution?
     ... but GC birth mass uncertain (stripping)



• BH-BH detection rate:

- Range of possibilities...



## What does this mean to you?

Multiple population models
 <u>Field stars</u> and <u>clusters</u> produce different binaries

...different injections?

• Push **low** frequency sensitivity hard!