LIGO-G020376-00-Z

Stochastic UL Group E7 Report

LSC Stochastic Sources Upper Limit Group

August 21, 2002

B. Allen, W. Anderson, S. Bose, N. Christensen, E. Daw, M. Diaz, R. Drever, S. Finn, P. Fritschel, J. Giaime, B. Hamilton, S. Heng, W. Johnson, B. Johnston, E. Katsavounidis, S. Klimenko, M. Landry, A. Lazzarini, M. McHugh, T. Nash, A. Ottewill, P. Perez, T. Regimbau, J. Rollins, J. Romano, B. Schutz, A. Searle, P. Shawhan, C. Torres, E. Vallarino, A. Vecchio, R. Weiss, J. Whelan, B. Whiting

Outline

- Background information: Definition of stochastic GW background, ...
- Preliminary E7 investigations
- Results of hardware injections, production E7 analysis
- Future plans for S1 and beyond

 $\Omega_0 \leq 7.7 imes 10^4$ for 40 Hz < f < 215 Hz

Stochastic GW Background

- Random GW signal produced by a large number of weak, independent, unresolved GW sources.
- Detect by cross-correlating output of two GW detectors.
- Strength specified by ratio of energy density in GWs to total energy density needed to close the universe:

$$\Omega_{gw}(f) := \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{critical}}} \frac{d\rho_{gw}}{d \ln f} = \frac{10\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 S_{gw}(f) \quad (\Omega_0 = \text{const})$$

- Current upper limits:
 - Low freq constraints from isotropy in CMBR and msec pulsar timing.
 - Broad band constraint from standard model of big-bang nucleo-synthesis: $\Omega_{gw}(f) \le 1 \times 10^{-7}$ in LIGO band
 - Garching-Glasgow IFOs (Compton et al, 1994): $\Omega_{gw}(f) \leq 3 \times 10^5$
 - EXPLORER & NAUTILUS (Astone et al, 1999): $\Omega_{gw}(907Hz) \le 60$

Cross-correlation statistic

• Look for a cross-correlated GW signal in output of two detectors (assumes noise uncorrelated with signal and noise in other detector):

$$Y_Q = \int_0^T dt_1 \int_0^T dt_2 h_1(t_1) Q(t_1 - t_2) h_2(t_2) = T \int_0^\infty df \, \tilde{h}_1^*(f) \tilde{Q}(f) \, \tilde{h}_2(f)$$

• Mean due to cross-correlated SB signal:

$$\mu = T \int_0^\infty df \, \gamma(f) S_{gw}(f) \, \tilde{Q}(f) \quad (= \Omega_0 T)$$

• Variance dominated by noise in individual detectors:

$$\sigma^2 \approx \frac{T}{2} \int_0^\infty df \, P_1(f) |\tilde{Q}(f)|^2 \, P_2(f)$$

• Optimal filter maximizes SNR ($\propto \sqrt{T}$):

$$\tilde{Q}(f) \propto \frac{\gamma(f) S_{\mathsf{gw}}(f)}{P_1(f) P_2(f)} \propto \frac{\gamma(f) f^{-3} \Omega_{\mathsf{gw}}(f)}{P_1(f) P_2(f)}$$

Overlap reduction function: $\gamma(f)$

Reduction in sensitivity due to separation and orientation of the detectors:





Upper Limit on Omega_gw

Setting an upper limit

Optimally-filtered CC statistic values:

 $Y_{Q11}, Y_{Q12}, \cdots Y_{Q110}, Y_{Q21}, Y_{Q22}, \cdots Y_{Q210}, \cdots Y_{QI1}, Y_{QI2}, \cdots Y_{QI10}, \cdots$ Sample mean and sample standard deviation:

$$\bar{Y}_{QI} := \frac{1}{10} \sum_{J=1}^{10} Y_{QIJ} , \quad s_I := \left(\frac{1}{9} \sum_{J=1}^{10} (Y_{QIJ} - \bar{Y}_{QI})^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Weighted average and standard error:

$$\bar{Y}_Q := \frac{\sum_{I=1}^M \lambda_I \bar{Y}_{QI}}{\sum_{J=1}^M \lambda_J} , \quad \hat{\sigma} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{\left(\sum_{I=1}^M \lambda_I^2 s_I^2\right)^{1/2}}{\sum_{J=1}^M \lambda_J}$$

where $\lambda_I = \sigma_I^{-2}$.

90% CL upper limit:

 $\Omega_0 \leq (\bar{Y}_Q + 1.28\,\hat{\sigma})/T$

Preliminary E7 investigations

- Expected upper limit: $\Omega_0 \le 1.4 \times 10^5$ for 40 Hz < f < 215 Hz for 70 hrs of coincident H2-L1 E7 data.
- Windowing: Required due to large dynamic range of LSC-AS_Q. Use pure Hann windows without overlap.
- High-pass filtering: Unnecessary if we restrict CC integral from 40 to 215 Hz. Fractional error in CC stat values ~ 1 part in 10^3 .
- Line removal: Regression method implemented in datacondAPI. Excellent suppression for high SNR lines in PEMs, but effect on CC stat values not sufficiently characterized for E7 analysis.
- 60 Hz mains correlations: Not coherent over long time scales. No shift in mean, but still want to suppress lines to reduce variance.

Hardware injection CC stat values



Point estimates: $\hat{\Omega}_0 = -4.2 \times 10^7$ and -5.9×10^9 , respectively.

Negative due to relative sign ambiguity in calibration and/or injection between LLO and LHO.

Hardware injection time-shift analysis



Point estimates $\hat{\Omega}_0$ with 90% CL error bars as a function of time-shift.

Production E7 analysis



 Y_{QIJ}/T (for all E7) and $(\bar{Y}_{QI} \pm s_I)/T$ (for each 15-minute chunk of data). Point estimate, std error: $\hat{\Omega}_0 = -1.9 \times 10^4$, $\hat{\sigma} = 4.5 \times 10^4 T$

90% CL upper limit: $\Omega_0 \leq 7.7 \times 10^4$ for 40 Hz < f < 215 Hz.

Production E7 analysis



Ratio s_I/σ_I and weighting factor $\lambda_I = \sigma^{-2}$ for each 15-min chunk of data.

Production E7 analysis



Gaussianity plots of $(Y_{QIJ} - \overline{Y}_Q)/\sigma_I$ for: (i) all E7 and (ii) the quietest 15-min chunks (which contribute 90% of weighted average).

Mean = -0.005 and -0.003, standard deviation = 1.22 and 1.02, respectively.

Future Plans (for S1 and beyond)

- Spliced Hann windows: Should improve upper limit by a factor of \sim 1.5.
- Monte Carlo simulations: Test within LDAS data analysis pipeline.
- Line removal: Need to characterize performance on CC stat values.
- Sign-correlation statistic: Use as alternative robust detection method for stochastic GW backgrounds and for broad-band CC noise studies.
- ALLEGRO-LLO correlation (E7 data): ALLEGRO rotated during E7; provides a method for estimating CC env noise component.
- GEO-LIGO correlation: Will not improve upper limit by much, but should increase our understanding of inter-continental CC env noise.

