Cryogenic interferometer ideas

Cryo-LIGO

Riccardo DeSalvo Aspen Co February 7th 2001 LIGO-G010030-00-D

Riccardo DeSalvo

- LIGO Mechanical limitations.
- Present LIGO
 - Limited by metallic suspensions
- Advanced LIGO
 - Limited by fused silica thermal noise
- Cryo-LIGO
 - Will use crystals (sapphire)
 - Reduce thermal noise by
 - Reducing KT (T^o K-^{1/2} only!! Gain of 10 at 3 ° K)
 - Take advantage of higher Q factors at low K
 - At cryogenic temperature thermo-eleasticity and other problems fade away

- Present LIGO
 - From 50-80 Hz up
- Advanced LIGO
 - From 10-20 Hz up
- Cryo LIGO
 - Below 10 Hz
 - Low frequency low power interferometer
 - Few Kelvins
 - High frequency high power interferometer
 - ~30° Kelvins

• A Possible Option:

- Referencing the mirror surface to a cold auxiliary test mass
 - Require cryogenic test mass close by
 - Require multiple beams to explore beam spot
 - High finesse beams, high specific power
- Simply displace problem
- Add complexity

• DISCLAIMER

- All the following ideas are Hypothetical
- Some tentative techniques have been identified
- None has been confirmed or validated
- Most are potential show stoppers
- Expect lots of basic R&D
- Lots of different groups collaborating
- Need to create specialized test labs

- Cryo-LIGO will be heat evacuation limited
- Radiative cooling is not an option because It behaves like T⁴
- Heat conduction or heat extraction?
- Need both sequentially !!!

• To put things in perspective:

- A 1 ppm absorption mirror with 1 MW circulating power dissipates 1 W on mirror
- At cryogenic temperatures 1 W is problematic !!!
- Conducting it through the isolation system is daunting.
 - Classical conduction through ultra-pure and annealed copper or aluminum. But metals in contact with mirrors would destroy quality factor
- Must conduct all heat through crystalline struts
 - Need large cross sections for conductivity
 - Need thin flex joints for isolation and thermal noise
- All power must transit through flex joints

The LCGT test

- Used four 250 µm diameter 100 mm long sapphire fibers
- Extract of the order of 10 mW of power
- Thermal drop of order of 20° K
- => Mirror above 25° K
- If and only if can produce a mechanically quiet cold finger at <4° K
 - No Helium boiling, no thick heat conductors to ground

- Cryo-LIGO will be heat evacuation limited
- Waste heat reduction
 - Mirror coating losses reduction <0.1 ppm
 - Substrate losses reduction ~ ppm/cm
- Heat conductivity (from mirror)
 - Cube with temperature in crystals
 - Increasing with decreasing defect density
- Heat extraction technique
 - Metal conduction ?
 - Heat piping (Superfluid Helium) ?
 - Active extraction ?

First developments needed Conservation !!

- Need a long term mirror coating R&D to develop lower mirror absorption
- Need long term crystal growth R&D to reduce bulk absorption

- Dual Frequency ranges
- Dual Cooling techniques
 - Low Frequency, local cooling
 - Optical chiller
 - High Frequency extensive conduction cooling
 - Superfluid Helium
 - Metal conduction
 - To boiling Helium
 - (Peltier pumps ruled out)

```
Sensitivity Options
```



Riccardo DeSalvo

- In the low frequency range lower shot noise requirements
 - Can reduce circulating power by factors of 10 to 100
 - Can increase finesse and further reduce input power
 - Possibly use optical chilling after just one isolation stage
- In the high frequency range
 - Must use temperature drop to feed power across multiple isolation stages to noisy heat pipe.
 - Less isolation constraints
 - Can use shorter, thicker links for better conductivity

Comparative advantages of a low/high frequency, low/high power interferometer

- 4°K/30°K
- 1kW/25kW B.S. power
- 250/50 Finesse
- 250 kW/1.25MW circulating power
- 0.1 ppm coating absorption
- 3 ppm/cm bulk absorption
- 25+30 mW/125+750 mW deposited power
- Radiation Pressure Fluctuation / Shot
 noise limited
- Starts looking feasible

- In all cases
- need Sapphire suspensions from mirror leading to at least one recessed cooling stage.
 - Need cross section to carry heat
 - Need low defect crystals for higher conductivity
 - Fibers are ruled out
 - Wrong aspect ratio (LCGT test)
 - Will need rods with short flex joints
 - Mass of rods will limit isolation properties

Will need rods with counterweights

Riccardo DeSalvo

- How to make rods with
 - Counterweights and
 - Flex joints
 - Low defect crystal material
- UltraSound machining
- Ar-cluster polishing
- Crystal bull re-melting for defect reduction?
 - (Like in Silicon purification ovens?)



Advantages of flex joint links

- If 3x3 rods instead of 250 μm Φ fibers => Gain of 180 in cross section (conductance)
- Flex joint over < mm (instead of ~300 mm fibers) =>Gain of >300 in thermal resistance
- Low defect crystals
 => ballistic heat transport

Shape of a flex joint link

• Counterweights will restore attenuation properties as in IPs



UltraSound machining of crystals

- Tool energized with U.S.
- Optical polishing powder carried in slurry
- Abrasive renewed by oscillating tool (static US machining) or



Ultrasound machining of crystals

- Abrasive renewed by rotating tool
- Examples:





Riccardo DeSalvo

Ultrasound machining of crystals

• More examples;



Riccardo DeSalvo

- How to remove possible machining induced surface defects?
 - US machining does not stress parts,
 - Uses same abrasives used in mirror coatings,
 - Can reach high polishing levels
- Still flex joints are thin,
- Small surface defects may induce fractures

• Need equivalent of flame polishing

Ar- cluster polishing

• A jet of Argon droplets electrostatically accelerated abrades the surface



Riccardo DeSalvo

Ar- cluster polishing

- Argon cluster has effective high temperature
- Locally remelts material that then recrystallize (flame polishing equiv.)
- Mechanically remove excess material



Effects of Ar-cluster polish



Riccardo DeSalvo

Flex joints development line

- Need prototyping (CIT) buying machine
- Need Q factor testing (SU)
- Need cryogenic Q factor testing
- Need cryogenic conductance tests
- Need long low defect Sapphire
- Need

How to evacuate the heat

- Options
- Optical chiller
 - (LASSOR proposed by Richard Epstein)
- Superfluid Helium

Basic Optical Refrigeration

Three-level "atom" in a transparent solid



Practical Optical Refrigeration



Yb-doped ZBLANP Fluoride Glass: **The first solid cooler**



Schematic Optical Refrigerator



Laser diode produces light Optical fiber carries it to cooling element Light enters through pin hole in one mirror Light is trapped, absorbed and re-emitted Fluorescence is absorbed on chamber walls "Load" is connected in shadow region

Riccardo DeSalvo Aspen CO, 7th February 2001

Results with Yb-ZBLAN

Optical Refrigerator Performance



Temperature (C)

Aspen CO, 7th February 2001

33

Laser REFRIGERATION IN A TM-DOPED SOLID (UNM, FEB. 2000)



Normalized temperature measured as a function of the incident laser wavelength. The line is the theoretical fit assuming a background absorption of 0.0002 cm⁻¹.

First Laser Cooling of Yb:YAG Crystal (April 10, 2000)



$\Delta T \sim 0.3 \text{ C}$ with 1.2W at 1030 nm

Riccardo DeSalvo

Semiconductor test Cooling Element For LIGO



Riccardo DeSalvo

Problems with optical chiller

- Need large optical power
- Efficiency ~ kT/1eV ~10⁻⁴ @3°K
- Must evacuate to better than $\sim 10^{-4}$
- Must extract from high refractive index medium
 - Will need extensive A.R coatings

Problems with superfluid helium

- Above ~ 0.1 W/cm² goes normal
 - (boils off)
 - Requires ~ $10 \text{ cm}^2 / \text{W}$
- Conducts phonons coherently, so short circuits thermal,
- But also acoustic conductor to all outer surfaces
 - (Pumping noise, ambient noise)
- Must be recessed from test mass at least two sapphire isolation stages

- Other needs
- To match the low displacement noise possibly achievable.
- Need matching seismic attenuation system that also allows suspension of "uncontrolled" mirrors (OK with SAS)
- Need to develop wireless, low power electrostatic actuators for lock acquisition and for actuation of masses above mirror

The battle plan

- UT Cryogenic thermal noise test
- Not the final solution
- Learning curve
- Test bed for different solutions



Aspen

The battle plan

- Coating developments
 - Parassite Advanced LIGO (Tests in UT)
- Sapphire substrate developments
 - Parassite Advanced LIGO (Tests in UT)
- Flex struts
 - Engineering studies (INSA)
 - Machining tests (CIT)
 - Q testing (SU), . . .
- Optical chiller
 - Development tests (LANL)
- Superfluid Helium (LNF?....)
- Electrostatic drive (UP)

Conclusions

- Cryogenic interferometers have great promises (see Fidecaro's evaluation)
- They are not proven unfeasible
- Will need massive amount of basic R&D
- Need more collaborators
 Cannot burden Advanced LIGO