Software Coordination Committee

20 Oct 1999

"Guide and oversee the scientific software development and testing. . . in both the LIGO Laboratory and the LSC."

Barry Barish Rainer Weiss

Stuart Anderson
Sam Finn
Maria Papa
Tom Prince
Alan Wiseman

URL: google.com "LLAL"

Agenda

- Complete the software standard.
- Coordinate the LIGO/LSC software development.
- Create a controlled software repository.
- Establish contributed code is up to spec.
- Organize mock data challenges.
- Operate as the LIGO/LSC software control board.
- Establish LSC data analysis proposal guidelines.

Mock Data Challenges

Purpose: Acceptance tests for the complete LIGO data analysis system.

Paradigm: Attempt when a sub-system is expected to pass but try to "crash" it.

Management: LSC members to volunteer as individual MDC coordinators.

Responsibility: Coordinate activity.

- Finalize MDC specification/checklist.
- Recruit team (including Lab programmers).
- schedule/advertise MDC run.
- write/present report (checklist).

Motivation: Not sufficient to write analysis algorithms for LIGO-I, must prove it works in the context of LDAS software and hardware.

Mock Data Challenges

1. Data Conditioning

Coordinator: Sam Finn

• Date: July 2000

• Data: raw frames \rightarrow conditioned data/metadata.

 Goal: Test ability to produce data set for parallel processing.

2. Parallel Filtering

Coordinator: TBD

• Date: December 2000

Data: conditioned data → events.

• Goal: Test functionality of MPI analysis (not science analysis).

3. Archive

- Coordinator: Stuart Anderson
- Date: TBD
- ullet Data: On-site real-time frames o off-site archival retrieval.
- Goal: Identify archive interface(s) and demonstrate sustained bandwidth and reliability requirements.

4. Database

- Coordinator: TBD
- Date: September 2000
- Data: GDS triggers and LDAS events ↔ LDAS database.
- Goal: Demonstrate performance and correctness of tables/interface.

- 5. Milestone: LDAS Full System on-site
 - Date: June 2001
 - Goal: LDAS ready for LAL.
- 6. Inchpebbles: Science Analysis
 - Coordinator: TBD
 - Date: December 2000–December 2001
 - Data: conditioned data → science events for each search type, e.g., binary inspiral, periodic sources, . . .
 - Goal: Test separate components of science analysis: LAL ready for LDAS.
- 7. Integrated Science Analysis: Single IFO
 - Coordinator: TBD
 - Date: January 2002
 - Data: Conditioned data + injected signals → science events.
 - Goal: Test of pipeline science analysis.

8. Integrated Science Analysis: Multiple IFO

- Coordinator: TBD
- Date: April 2002
- Data: Multiple conditioned data streams + injected signals → science events.
- Goal: Test of coherent multiple-IFO science analysis.

9. Archive Science Analysis

- Coordinator: TBD
- Date: June 2002
- Data: Conditioned data from archive → science events.
- Goal: Test long time-line science analysis.

Conclusion

- "We are no more disfunctional than the average family." (anonymous)
- The LSC data analysis proposal guidelines have been approved.
- The LAL specification is under active development.
- Initial MDC specifications are being written.
- MDC Coordinators will be encouraged to *volunteer*.

Future Work

Fully explore all aspects of the initial charter:

```
"... committee as an interim step..."
```

```
". . . finite life. . . "
```

Page 1

Note 1, Linda Turner, 05/09/00 09:35:25 AM LIGO-G000063-00-D