*LIGO Laboratory / LIGO Scientific Collaboration*

[**LIGO-** **E1000314**](https://dcc.ligo.org/cgi-bin/private/DocDB/ShowDocument?docid=557)  *LIGO* May 23rd, 2012

**aLIGO HAM-ISI, Pre-integration Test Report, Phase I,**

**LHO Unit #5 (post-assembly, before storage)**

E1000314 – V3

Hugo Paris, Fabrice Matichard, Vincent Lhuillier

Hugh Radkins, Jim Warner, Robinson Mitchel, Corey Gray, Gregory Grabeel, Eric Allwine

Distribution of this document:

Advanced LIGO Project

This is an internal working note

of the LIGO Laboratory

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **California Institute of Technology**  **LIGO Project – MS 18-34**  **1200 E. California Blvd.**  **Pasadena, CA 91125**  Phone (626) 395-2129  Fax (626) 304-9834  E-mail: info@ligo.caltech.edu | **Massachusetts Institute of Technology**  **LIGO Project – NW22-295**  **185 Albany St**  **Cambridge, MA 02139**  Phone (617) 253-4824  Fax (617) 253-7014  E-mail: info@ligo.mit.edu |
| **LIGO Hanford Observatory**  **P.O. Box 1970**  **Mail Stop S9-02**  **Richland WA 99352**  Phone 509-372-8106  Fax 509-372-8137 | **LIGO Livingston Observatory**  **P.O. Box 940**  **Livingston, LA 70754**  Phone 225-686-3100  Fax 225-686-7189 |

**Table of contents:**

Introduction 4

I. Pre-Assembly Testing 5

 Step 1: Position Sensors 5

 Step 2: GS13 testing prior to shippement 7

 Step 2.1 – Horizontal GS-13s 8

 Step 2.2 – Vertical GS-13s 9

 Step 3: Actuators 11

 Step 5 – Seismometer inspection after shipping 12

II. Tests to be performed during assembly 13

 Step 1: Parts Inventory (E1000052) 13

 Step 2: Check torques on all bolts 14

 Step 3: Check gaps under Support Posts 14

 Step 4: Pitchfork/Boxwork flatness before Optical Table install 14

 Step 5: Blade spring profile 15

 Step 6: Gap checks on actuators-after installation on Stage 1 16

 Step 7: Check level of Stage 0 17

 Step 8: Check level of Stage 1 Optical Table 18

 Step 9: Mass budget 19

 Step 10: Shim thickness 21

 Step 11: Lockers adjustment 21

III. Tests to be performed after assembly 22

 Step 1 - Electronics Inventory 22

 Step 2 - Set up sensors gap 22

 Step 3 - Measure the Sensor gap 23

 Step 4 - Check Sensor gaps after the platform release 23

 Step 5 – Performance of the limiter 24

 Step 5.1 - Test Nº1 - Pushing “in the general coordinates” 24

 Step 5.2 - Test Nº2 – Pushing “locally” 24

 Step 6 - Position Sensors unlocked/locked Power Spectra 26

 Step 7 - GS13 power spectra -tabled tilted 28

 Step 8- GS13 pressure readout 29

 Step 9 - Coil Driver, cabling and resistance check 30

 Step 10 - Actuators Sign and range of motion (Local drive) 31

 Step 11 - Vertical Sensor Calibration 32

 Step 12 - Vertical Spring Constant 33

 Step 13 - Static Testing (Tests in the local basis) 33

 Step 14 - Linearity test 34

 Step 15 - Cartesian Basis Static Testing 35

 Step 16- Frequency response 36

 Step 16.1 - Local to local measurements 36

 Step 16.2 – GS13 Response extraction 38

 Step 16.3 GS13 response fitting 41

 16.4 Symmetrization Filters 44

 Step 16.4 - Cartesian to Cartesian TF computation 46

 Step 17 - Transfer function comparison with Reference 48

 Step 17.1 - Local to local - Comparison with Reference 48

 Step 17.2 - Cartesian to Cartesian - Comparison with Reference 51

 Step 18 - Lower Zero Moment Plane 54

 Step 19 - Damping loops 55

 Step 19.1 – Simulation of damping performance - TF 55

 Step 19.2 – Experiemental evaluation of damping performance - Spectra 57

IV. HAM-ISI Unit #5 testing summary 59

 List of tests that failed and don’t need to be redone: 59

 Tests that failed and need to be done during phase II 59

 List of test that were skipped and that we will not do because they are not essential 59

Introduction

HAM-ISI Unit #5 was assembled during April 2012. The testing of this Unit is presented here. It started on May 2nd 2012 and lasted until May 8th 2012 with the testing horizontal GS13s.

These Horizontal GS13s featured non-consistent behavior after reception at LHO. Investigation has been performed at LLO for instruments featuring the same symptoms (LLO aLog 2909). It revealed that the screws of some flexures were not correctly torqued anymore and that they needed *lock tight* in order to remain secured during shipping. Hence, the horizontal GS13s initially used for testing were considered as “testing instruments”. They were removed from the assembly at the end of the *assembly validation*, to be replaced with production pods. Tests involving GS13s were performed with the new set of production pods between May 21st and May 24th 2012.

Stage-0 L4Cs and their brackets were not installed during tests.

The procedure document used to perform these tests is:

- E1000309–V12 - aLIGO HAM-ISI, Pre-Integration Testing Procedure, Phase I (post assembly, before storage)

Other useful information can be found in:

* E1000300 - HAM-ISI LLO test stand: software and electronic check

**Remark regarding SVN paths:**

**Units need to be tested under a folder that matches medm channels’ names. Since MEDM channels’ names all refer to HAMX during this phase of testing, units are all tested under:**

**/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/**

**Once a unit is tested, a folder called after its order of assembly is created. For Unit #5, the name of this folder will be:**

**/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/Unit\_5/**

**Test data is then moved from HAMX testing folder to this final folder. All the data related to the Phase I testing of this unit is then stored in this folder. The data set names, the location of the test results, and the locations of the programs used to obtain them are specified along this document.**

**Even if they are tested under HAMX, units are called per their order of assembly in programs, figures and data files.**

# Pre-Assembly Testing

## Step 1: Position Sensors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S/N sensor | S/N board | ADE Gap Standoff (mm) | Location on the Jig | Gap Standoff on Jig (mm/in) | Voltage before zeroing | Voltage after zeroing. Prebake | Voltage after zeroing. Post bake |
| 12007 | 11887 | NR | NR | ~2.057 | 0.6 | ~.01 | x |
| 11983 | 11853 | NR | NR | ~2.057 | x | ~.01 | x |
| 12022 | 11869 | NR | NR | ~2.057 | x | ~.01 | x |
| 12006 | 11901 | NR | NR | ~2.057 | x | ~.01 | x |
| 12035 | 11888 | NR | NR | 2.032mm/0.080" | \*\*-1 | \*.01 | -4.88 |
| 12027 | 11883 | NR | NR | 2.032mm/0.080" | \*\*-1 | \*.01 | -4.82 |

|  |
| --- |
| \*: Not recorded, estimation |
| \*\*: The sign was not recorded, but probably is in negative volts  NR: Not recorded  Note: The back panel reads 0.508V/0.001" |

Sensors noise spectra measured before baking E1000257, and before shielding per procedure T1000636:



** **

Figure - H1 and V1 sensor noise Figure - H2 and V2 sensor noise


****

Figure - H3 and V3 sensor noise

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* CPS # 12007 (H1) is slightly out of requirements at 0.1 Hz

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* Power spectrum magnitudes must be lower than:
  + 9.e-10 m/√Hz at 0.1Hz
  + 6.e-10 m/√Hz at 1Hz

**Test result: Passed: Failed: X .**

**Note:** Failed on H1 at 0.1Hz. Its spectrum is however very close from our requirement.

## Step 2: GS13 testing prior to shippement

**Data related to GS-13 post podding testing can be found in the SVN at:** \SeismicSVN\seismic\Common\Data\aLIGO\_GS13\_TestData\PostMod\_TestResults\_PDFs.

**Power spectra measured at reception, after shipment from LLO, can be found at**\SeismicSVN\ seismic\Common\Data\aLIGO\_GS13\_TestData\_LHO\


aLIGO GS13 Testing page is E1100367. It contains links to:


* LIGO-E1000058: aLIGO GS-13 Status Chart
* LIGO-24: aLIGO GS-13 as received testing results
* LIGO-E1100394: aLIGO GS-13 prior shipping testing results
* LIGO-E1100395: aLIGO GS-13 Post Modification testing results
* LIGO-F0900070: GS-13 Inspection Checklist

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Corner 1 | Corner 2 | Corner 3 |
| V | Pod | 74 | 72 | 4 |
| Instrument | 687 | 733 | 691 |
| H | Pod | 13 | 38 | 71 |
| Instrument | 820 | 829 | 843 |

Table- GS13 instrument and Pod S/Ns

## Step 2.1 – Horizontal GS-13s










Figure - Huddle testing of Horizontal GS-13 820(H1), 829(H2), and 843(H3) after aLIGO modifications

## Step 2.2 – Vertical GS-13s










Figure - Huddle testing of Vertical GS-13 687(V1), 733(V2), and 691(V3) after aLIGO modifications

**Driven testing**








Figure - Driven testing of Vertical GS-13 687(V1), 733(V2), and 691(V3) after aLIGO modifications

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* GS13 have already been tested at LLO. GS13 Inspection/Pod Assembly is described in document D047810. Checklist is defined in F090070-v6

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 3: Actuators

Actuator data can be found at: T0900564-V2. Actuator inventory is made at Section II – Step 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Actuator Serial #: L105  Operator Name: Gordon, Matt  Date: 1/30/2010 Time: 11:00 AM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.42 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 65.5 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.528  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.205  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.501 | Actuator Serial #: L023  Operator Name: Smith, Lane  Date: 8/12/2009 Time: 9:26 AM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.42 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 68.8 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.518  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.205  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.478 |
| Actuator Serial #: L098  Operator Name: Gordon, Matt  Date: 1/30/2010 Time: 12:14 PM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.34 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 65.2 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.534  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.206  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.505 | Actuator Serial #: L115  Operator Name: Gordon, Matt  Date: 1/29/2010 Time: 4:19 PM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.35 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 71.3 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.540  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.205  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.505 |
| Actuator Serial #: L116  Operator Name: Gordon, Matt  Date: 1/29/2010 Time: 3:50 PM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.35 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 71.3 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.526  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.205  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.506 | Actuator Serial #: L117  Operator Name: Gordon, Matt  Date: 1/29/2010 Time: 3:32 PM  Actuator Coil Resistance: 6.4033 Ohms, PASS Ambient Temperature: 71.3 F  Hi Pot Test Results: 1000 MOhms, PASS  X Travel Limit (inches): 0.536  Y Travel Limit (inches): 0.206  Z Travel Limit (inches): 0.507 |

TBC: To Be Completed once horizontal actuators’ S/N are retrieved (GS13 door opened)

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* Actuators S/Ns were recorded after assembly.

**Acceptance Criteria:**


* Actuators were previously tested and results are reported in T0900564-V2.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 5 – Seismometer inspection after shipping



**Figure – Horizontal Geophones (H1=13 H2=38, H3=71) inspection after reception at LHO**

**** **Figure – Vertical Geophones inspection after reception at LHO**

**Results saved under the SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/Common/Data/aLIGO\_GS13\_TestData\_LHO/

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* Geophones must have been tested after reception the geophones at LHO
* ASDs of the geophones must confirm that they are still functioning after shipping.
* Results must be available in the SVN

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note:**

Horizontal GS13s have *locktight* on their flexure’s screws.

# Tests to be performed during assembly

## Step 1: Parts Inventory (E1000052)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| DCC Number | Part name | Configuration | Corner 1 S/N | Corner 2 S/N | Corner 3 S/N |
| D071001 | Stage 0 base | NA | 10 | | |
| D071051 | Stage 1 base | NA | 12 | | |
| D071050 | Optical table | NA | 11 | | |
| D071002 | Spring Post | NA | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| D071100 | Spring | NA | 38 | 13 | 29 |
| D071102 | Flexure | NA | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| ADE | Position sensor | Horizontal | 12007 Master 0 | 12022 S1ave 180 | 12035 Slave 0 |
| Vertical | 11983 Slave 180 | 12006 Slave 0 | 12027 Slave 180 |
| D047812 | GS-13 pod | Horizontal | 13 | 38 | 71 |
| Vertical | 74 | 72 | 4 |
| D047823 | L4C pod | Horizontal | NA | NA | NA |
| Vertical | NA | NA | NA |
| D0902749 | Actuator | Horizontal | 23 | 115 | 117 |
| Vertical | 105 | 98 | 116 |

Table – Parts inventory

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cable Connects | | Cable S/N | | |
| Part Name | Configuration | Corner 1 | Corner 2 | Corner 3 |
| GS13 | Horizontal | S1106664 | S1106659 | S1104712 |
| Vertical |
| L4C | Horizontal | NA | NA | NA |
| Vertical | NA | NA | NA |
| Actuator | Horizontal | S1104492 | S1104756 | S1106678 |
| Vertical | S1106679 | S1104490 | S1105207 |

Table – Cables inventory

NA: Not applicable

## Step 2: Check torques on all bolts

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* All bolts should trip the wrench, and start moving immediately after. If any bolts in a pattern move before torque is reached, recheck after all bolts are brought to spec.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 3: Check gaps under Support Posts



Figure - Showing edges that need checked on support posts and gussets

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* A 0.001 inch shim cannot be passed freely through any connection to Stage 0 or between post and gussets. If shim can pass through, loosen all constraining bolts, and then retighten iteratively from the center of the part to the edges. Retest.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 4: Pitchfork/Boxwork flatness before Optical Table install



Figure – Showing what needs to be checked on Boxworks and Pitchforks

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* Shim inserted won’t pass between parts.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 5: Blade spring profile



**figure – Blade spring profile measurement points**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Blade # | Root (Mils) | Tip(Mils) | Flatness (mils) |
| 1 | 611.5 | 624 | 12.5 |
| 2 | 608.5 | 620 | 11.5 |
| 3 | 612 | 622 | 10 |

Table - Blade profile

**Acceptance Criteria:**

* Blades must be flat within 0.015" inches.

Note that the tip measurement should be constant and that root value can be impacted by shims change.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 6: Gap checks on actuators-after installation on Stage 1



**Figure - Showing gaps that need to be checked on actuators.**

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

Since layers of shims are difficult to use accurately, a Go (70mils shim set) vs. No Go (90 mils shim set) technique was used for this test. To pass the test an actuator gap has to allow the 70mils shim set to be inserted and refuse the 90mils shim set.


The gaps on the backside of horizontal actuators are hard to access.

**Acceptance Criteria**

* Gaps must be within 0.010” of design (i.e. 0.090” and .070” pass, but 0.095” and 0.065” doesn’t).

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 7: Check level of Stage 0

****

**Figure – Level measured on Stage 0**

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

The accuracy of the measurement limited by the measurement tool: optical level + ruler on a block. The ruler only has 1/100” graduations. Values are deduced from the relative distance to graduations. The uncertainty is about 1mil.

Max angle is calculated between the opposite points that have the most different level.

**Max angle=0.007/72 (± 0.001/72) = 97.2 (±13.8) µrad**

**Acceptance Criteria**


* The maximum angle of the table with the horizontal mustn’t exceed ~100µrad

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note:**

This test is borderline to our stringent requirement, however this leveling value is sufficient for all the tests being performed.

## Step 8: Check level of Stage 1 Optical Table



**Figure – Level measured on Stage 1**

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

The accuracy of the measurement is limited by the measurement tool: optical level + ruler on a block. The ruler only has 1/100” graduations. Values are deduced from the relative distance to graduations. The uncertainty is about 1mil.

Max angle is calculated between the opposite points that have the most different level.

**Max angle = 0.004/86 (± 0.001/86) = 47.5 (± 11.7) µrad**

**Acceptance Criteria**

* The maximum angle of the table with the horizontal mustn’t exceed ~100µrad

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 9: Mass budget

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 15.6 | 27.2 | lbs | kgs |
| W9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 1.27 |
| W1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 31.7 | 14.38 |
| W2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 33.9 | 15.38 |
| W3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 1.54 |
| W4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 28.3 | 12.84 |
| W5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 31.7 | 14.38 |
| W6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.27 |
| W7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 36.2 | 16.42 |
| W8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 27.8 | 12.61 |
| Side Masses Total | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 196.4 | 89.09 |

Table – Wall masses distribution

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 15.6 | 27.2 | lbs | kgs |
| K1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 35.1 | 15.92 |
| K2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 31.2 | 14.15 |
| K3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 35.1 | 15.92 |
| K4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 31.2 | 14.15 |
| K5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 35.1 | 15.92 |
| K6 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 31.2 | 14.15 |
| Keel Masses Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 198.9 | 90.22 |

Table – Keel masses distribution

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Mass (kg) |
| t1 | 40.00 |
| t2 | 280.79 |
| t3 | 35.00 |
| t4 | 30.00 |
| Total | 385.79 |


Table – Optic table masses distribution

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Side | Keel | Top | Total |
| Weigh (kg) | 89.09 | 90.22 | 385.79 | 565.10 |

**Table – Mass budget sum up**



Figure – Wall Masses (W) and Keel masses (K) location. *South of picture = corner 1*



Picture – Optic table masses distribution

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* T2’s big mass evaluated at nominal value: 270.79lbs. Gauge not available for measurement.
* A few shims were used for balancing. Their weight is negligible in comparison with the mass budget. Hence their weight is not reported in the mass budget.

**Acceptance Criteria**The Mass budget must be

* 579.1 Kg (cf. E1100427)+/-25Kg (5%)

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 10: Shim thickness

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Lockers | Shim thickness (mils) |
|
| A | 120 |
| B | 120 |
| C | 121 |
| D | 122 |

Table – Shims Thickness

**Acceptance Criteria**

* The shim thickness should be 125 mils +/-5

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 11: Lockers adjustment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| D.I. at Locker | Vertical D.I. | Horizontal D.I. |
| A | 1 | 0 |
| B | 0.8 | -0.6 |
| C | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| D | 1.2 | 0 |

Table – Dial indicators read-out (in thousands of an inch)

**Acceptance Criteria**

* Vertical and horizontal displacement near the lockers must be lower than 2 mils (0.002”)

**Test result: Passed: X Failed:**

# Tests to be performed after assembly

## Step 1 - Electronics Inventory

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Hardware | LIGO reference | S/N |
| Coil driver | D0902744 | S1000266 |
| S1000269 |
| Anti Image filter | D070081 | S1000250 |
| Anti aliasing filter | D1000269 | S1102694 |
| S1102679 |
| Interface chassis | D1000067 | 1102223 |
| 1102224 |
| 1102214 |

Table - Inventory electronics

## Step 2 - Set up sensors gap

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Locked, 10 Kg masses at each corners | |
| Table locked | ADE boxes on | |
| Sensors | Offset (Mean) | Std deviation |
| H1 | -349.90 | 6.69 |
| H2 | 10.84 | 6.49 |
| H3 | 360.82 | 6.95 |
| V1 | -221.90 | 7.10 |
| V2 | -822.97 | 8.87 |
| V3 | -539.05 | 11.92 |

Capacitive position sensor readout after gap set-up

**Acceptance criteria:**

* All mean values must be lower than +/-400 cts (a bit less than .0005”).
* All standard deviations below 20 counts.
* No cross talk

**Test result: Passed: Failed: X .**

**Note:** Failed because of standard deviation. However, a CPS was set on a test-jig and featured 4.3 counts of standard deviation, which is within specs. Hence, the high standard deviation observed is correlated to the 10Hz-100Hz peaks observed on the locked/unlocked GS13 and CPS ASDs. As shown in *SEI Logbook entry #15*, these peaks are caused by ground motion. Hence, high standard deviations should not be associated with sensor noise.

**Note:** Vertical CPSs are out of requirement when the ISI is unlocked. This little issue will be solved when the balancing was perfected for the next steps of testing.

## Step 3 - Measure the Sensor gap

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

Measured in the previous step. Waived to avoid scratching targets.

**Test result: Passed: Failed:** . **Waived: X\_**

## Step 4 - Check Sensor gaps after the platform release

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Table locked | | Table unlocked | |  |
| Sensors | Mean | Std Deviation | Mean | Std Deviation | Difference |
| H1 | -250.23 | 8.98 | -130.32 | 24.21 | 119.91 |
| H2 | 100.34 | 7.15 | 144.23 | 18.61 | 43.89 |
| H3 | 135.28 | 7.49 | -425.38 | 30.98 | 560.66 |
| V1 | -125.67 | 9.33 | 439.86 | 22.21 | 565.53 |
| V2 | -777.05 | 17.66 | 151.37 | 23.91 | 928.42 |
| V3 | -279.89 | 13.21 | -395.83 | 26.57 | 115.94 |

Table – Sensor gaps after platform release

**Acceptance criteria:**

* Absolute values of the difference between the unlocked and the locked table must be below:
  + 1600 cts for horizontal sensors (~0.002”)
  + 1600 cts for vertical sensors (~0.002”)
* All mean values must be lower than:
  + 2000 cts for horizontal sensors (~0.0025”)
  + 2000 cts for vertical sensors (~0.0025”)

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 5 – Performance of the limiter

## Step 5.1 - Test Nº1 - Pushing “in the general coordinates”

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pushing Z,-Z | CPS read out | | Calculated after calibration | | ROM |
| Sensors | UP (Counts) | Down (Counts) | UP (mil) | Down (mil) |
| V1 | 20600 | -19200 | 24.8 | -23.1 | 39800 |
| V2 | 17400 | -19600 | 20.9 | -23.6 | 37000 |
| V3 | 19800 | -21030 | 23.8 | -25.3 | 40830 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pushing RZ, -RZ | CPS read out | | Calculated after calibration | | ROM |
| Sensors | CCW (+RZ) | CW(-RZ) | CW (mil) | CCW (mil) |
| H1 | -22000 | 22000 | -26.4 | 26.4 | 44000 |
| H2 | -19000 | 20000 | -22.8 | 24.0 | 39000 |
| H3 | -22000 | 23000 | -26.4 | 27.6 | 45000 |

Table - Optic table range of motion

## Step 5.2 - Test Nº2 – Pushing “locally”

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pushing Locally | Push in positive direction | Push in negative direction | Railing | Actuator Gap Check | ROM |
| H1 | -23000 | 22300 |  | X | 45300 |
| H2 | -22400 | 21700 |  | X | 44100 |
| H3 | -21900 | 23300 |  | X | 45200 |
| V1 | 20300 | -20100 |  | X | 40400 |
| V2 | 32000 | -32000 | X | X | 64000 |
| V3 | 25000 | -26000 |  | X | 51000 |

Table - Optic table range of motion

Issues/difficulties encountered during this test:

* Contact points are difficult to check on vertical actuators.
* V2 was railing when pushing locally on V3.
* Horixontal motion (Rz) was computed in mils from the vertical CPS calibration.

**Acceptance criteria:**

* The vertical sensor readout must be positive when the optic table is pushed in the +Z direction
* The horizontal sensor readout must be negative when the optic table is pushed in the +RZ direction
* **Step 5.1** 
  + Absolutes value of all estimated motions must be higher than 16000counts (~0.020”)
* **Step 5.2**
  + No contact point on sensors
  + Absolute value of sensor read out must be higher than 16000counts (~0.020”)
  + No contact point on actuators

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 6 - Position Sensors unlocked/locked Power Spectra

Locked/Unlocked Power Spectra are presented below.




Figure - Calibrated CPS power spectra



Figure – Calibrated GS13 Power spectra

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Testing\_Functions\_HAM\_ISI/

* ASD\_Measurements\_Locked\_Unlocked\_HAM\_ISI.m

**Data in SVN at:**

SeiSVN/seismic/ HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Spectra/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_m\_CPS\_T240\_L4C\_GS13\_Locked\_vs\_Unlocked\_2012\_05\_17.mat

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Spectra/Undamped

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_PSD\_m\_GS13\_Locked\_vs\_Unlocked\_2012\_05\_17.fig
* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_PSD\_m\_CPS\_ Locked\_vs\_Unlocked\_2012\_05\_17.fig

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* 10Hz-100Hz peaks were investigated for the testing phase I of Unit #3, and reported in Part 1, last step: *Capacitive Position Sensor Investigation*, of the related report (Document #E1000312-v3)

**Acceptance criteria:**

* No cross talk (peaks at low frequencies + harmonics on measurements)
* Magnitudes of power spectra must be between requirement curves

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note:**

When a seismometer fails, its low frequency response is affected. Spectra are within requirements in low frequency. The production GS13s installed on this unit are functional.

## Step 7 - GS13 power spectra -tabled tilted

GS13 spectra when the table is tilted are presented below.




Figure – Power spectrum Calibrated GS13 with mass at corners A to F

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Testing\_Functions\_HAM\_ISI/

* ASD\_Measurements\_Stages\_Tilted\_HAM\_ISI.m

**Data in SVN at:**

SeiSVN/seismic/ HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Spectra/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_m\_GS13\_Stage\_Tilted\_2012\_05\_17.mat

**Figures in SVN at:**

SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Spectra/Undamped

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_m\_PSD\_GS13\_Tilted\_2012\_05\_17.fig

**Acceptance criteria:**

* With table unlocked and tilted, magnitudes of power spectra must be fully included within requirement curves.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note:**

When a seismometer fails, its low frequency response is affected. Spectra are within requirements in low frequency. The production GS13s installed on this unit are functional.

## Step 8- GS13 pressure readout




Figure – Pressure Readouts

**Acceptance criteria:**

- The pressure on *GS13\_P* channels must be 102KPa +/-8 KPa (25000 counts +/- 3000 counts)

- *GS13\_P* must vary the same way in each corner and *GS13\_DIFF* must be constant (channels follow comparable trend)

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note:** There is no L4C on this Unit.The values read on the FF\_L4C\_P channels are suspicious. These channels display 30KPa when GS13 interface-chassis are OFF, and 124KPa when GS13 interface chassis are ON.

## Step 9 - Coil Driver, cabling and resistance check

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Actuator | V1 | | H1 | | V2 | |
| Coil driver | S1000266 - Coarse 2 | | S1000266 - Coarse 1 | | S1000269 - Coarse 2 | |
| Cable # | S1106679 | | S1104492 | | S1104490 | |
| Resistance | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 |
| (Ohm) | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 |
| MEDM offset | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | |
| (1000 counts) | 0.3070V | | 0.3117V | | 0.3115V | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuator | H2 | | V3 | | H3 | |
| Coil driver | S1000269 - Coarse 1 | | S1102692 - Coarse 2 | | S1102692 - Coarse 1 | |
| Cable # | S1104756 | | S1105207 | | S1106678 | |
| Resistance | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 | P1 - P2 | P2 - P3 |
| (Ohm) | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 | O.L (infinity) | 6.5 |
| MEDM offset | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | | Measurement P2 (+) ; P1&P3 (-) | |
| (1000 counts) | 0.3138V | | 0.3044V | | 0.3106V | |

Table - Actuators resistance check

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* Voltages measured from Pin #2 (+) to pin #3 (-) with compensation filters engaged.
* Resistances are given +/-0.2V

**Acceptance criteria:**

* The measured resistance between the middle pin and one side pin must be 6.5 +/-1 ohms
* Actuator neutral pins must be connected on pin #3 (left side pin of the plug)
* Actuator drive pins must be connected on pin #2 (middle pin of the plug)
* Actuator ground shield pins must be connected on pin #1 (right pin of the plug)
* All LEDs on the coil driver front panel must be green

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 10 - Actuators Sign and range of motion (Local drive)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Negative drive | No Drive | Positive drive | ROM (Counts) |
| H1 readout (count) | -24394 | -147 | 23977 | 48371 |
| H2 readout (count) | -24102 | 124 | 23981 | 48083 |
| H3 readout (count) | -24270 | -424 | 25092 | 49362 |
| V1 readout (count) | -19143 | 462 | 20146 | 39289 |
| V2 readout (count) | -26064 | 184 | 26109 | 52173 |
| V3 readout (count) | -23320 | -360 | 20977 | 44297 |

Table - Range of motion - Local drive

Issues/difficulties/comments regarding this test:

* Compensation filters are ON.
* Symmetrization filters are OFF

**Acceptance criteria:**

* Main couplings sensors readout must be at least 16000 counts (~0.02”)
* A positive offset drive on one actuator must give positive sensor readout on the collocated sensor. Signs will also be tested when measuring local-to-local transfer functions.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 11 - Vertical Sensor Calibration

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lockers | D.I readout with for a negative drive | D.I readout without any drive | D.I readout with for a positive drive |  |
| A | -18.90 | 0.00 | 19.50 |  |
| B | -19.10 | 0.00 | 19.10 |  |
| C | -18.90 | 0.00 | 19.10 |  |
| D | -18.50 | 0.00 | 19.00 |  |
| Average | -18.85 | 0.00 | 19.18 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensors | Counts | Counts | Counts | Difference |
| V1 | -14971.00 | 362.81 | 16410.00 | 31381.00 |
| V2 | -15149.00 | 770.03 | 16463.00 | 31612.00 |
| V3 | -15919.00 | -117.31 | 15999.00 | 31918.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical Sensibility | | | |  |
| 832.01 | Count/mil | | |  |
| 0.51 | V/mil | | |  |
| 30.53 | nm/count | | |  |
| -0.95 | % from ref (840count/mil) | | |  |

Table - Calibration of capacitive position sensors

**Acceptance criteria:**

* Deviation from nominal value < 2%. Nominal value is 840 count/mil.

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 12 - Vertical Spring Constant

Results presented below are obtained after the initial sensors calibration.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sensors | Mean diff counts | Mean diff m | K (N/m) | Error with average |  |
|  |
| V1 | -8323 | -2.541E-04 | 78805 | -2.65% |  |
| V2 | -8039 | -2.454E-04 | 81592 | 0.79% |  |
| V3 | -7954 | -2.428E-04 | 82457 | 1.86% |  |
|  |  | Average (N/m) | 242854 |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1.69 | % variation from nominal | |

Table - Vertical spring constant

**Acceptance criteria:**

* +/-2 % of 2.4704e5 N/m (i.e. between 2.421e5 and 2.520e5 N/m)
* +/- 5% of variation between each spring and the average

**Test result: Passed: X Failed:**

## Step 13 - Static Testing (Tests in the local basis)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Sensors (counts) | | | | | |
| H1 | H2 | H3 | V1 | V2 | V3 |
| H1 | 2099 | 1309 | 1296 | -23 | -17 | 14 |
| H2 | 1292 | 2064 | 1293 | 17 | -24 | -16 |
| H3 | 1260 | 1261 | 2001 | 5 | -25 | -11 |
| V1 | 196 | 190 | -392 | 1506 | -55 | -630 |
| V2 | -378 | 186 | 187 | -648 | 1453 | -33 |
| V3 | 193 | -381 | 201 | -71 | -648 | 1451 |

Table - Main couplings and cross couplings

**Acceptance criteria:**

* **Vertical**

For a +1000 count offset drive on vertical actuators

* + Collocated sensors must be 1400 counts +/- 10%
* **Horizontal**

For a +1000 count offset drive on horizontal actuators

* + Collocated sensors must be 2000 counts +/- 10%
  + Non-collocated horizontal sensors must be 1250 counts +/-10%

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 14 - Linearity test

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Slope | Offset | Average slope | Variation from average(%) |
| H1 | 2.1037 | -193.1119 | 2.06 | 2.04 |
| H2 | 2.0597 | 93.2935 | -0.09 |
| H3 | 2.0215 | -461.5963 | -1.95 |
| V1 | 1.5042 | 492.2323 | 1.47 | 2.08 |
| V2 | 1.4634 | 218.1938 | -0.69 |
| V3 | 1.453 | -322.766 | -1.39 |

**Table - Slopes and offset of the triplet ‘Actuators - HAM-ISI – Sensors’**




Figure - Linearity test on the triplet ‘actuators - HAM-ISI – sensors’  
in both Horizontal and vertical directions

Issues/difficulties encountered during this test:

* H1, H3 and V1 do not meet our requirements.
* The tendencies on linearity test slopes seem to match the tendencies on cable resistance (coildriver to feedthrough section). Cable resistance, which is proportional to cable length, would then be a possible explanation for the linearity results obtained.

**Acceptance criteria:**

* Horizontal and vertical slopes of the triplet actuators x HAM-ISI x sensors: Average slope +/- 1.5%

**Test result: Passed: Failed: X .**

**Note:** we will check that these values are within tolerance when we’ll use the final field cables.

## Step 15 - Cartesian Basis Static Testing

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 counts Drive | H1 | H2 | H3 | V1 | V2 | V3 | Direction read out |
| X Drive | 285.10 | 272.52 | -463.91 | -17.34 | -30.18 | -22.76 | 506.38 |
| Y Drive | -461.20 | 418.89 | -15.08 | 6.68 | -32.85 | -18.13 | 525.64 |
| Z Drive | -5.47 | -6.26 | -1.06 | 272.07 | 256.14 | 242.21 | 266.38 |
| Rx Drive | -452.02 | 447.27 | 12.34 | -485.66 | 1673.81 | -1216.00 | 2584.48 |
| Ry Drive | -279.17 | -271.21 | 549.72 | -1724.15 | 399.50 | 1241.35 | 2631.81 |
| Rz Drive | -1998.09 | -2000.19 | -1995.12 | -3.80 | -34.73 | -31.69 | 2524.51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 counts Drive | H1 | H2 | H3 | V1 | V2 | V3 | Direction read out |
| X Drive | + | + | - |  |  |  | + |
| Y Drive | - | + | 0 |  |  |  | + |
| Z Drive |  |  |  | + | + | + | + |
| Rx Drive |  |  |  | - | + | - | + |
| Ry Drive |  |  |  | - | + | + | + |
| Rz Drive | - | - | - |  |  |  | + |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 counts Drive | X | Y | Z | RX | RY | RZ | Direction read out |
| X Drive | 506.38 | 0.63 | 2.17 | 3.61 | 9.78 | -40.31 | 506.38 |
| Y Drive | -6.97 | 525.64 | 1.05 | 6.06 | 14.93 | 15.09 | 525.64 |
| Z Drive | -0.45 | 2.12 | 266.38 | 36.92 | -15.86 | -2.81 | 266.38 |
| Rx Drive | 12.63 | 2.26 | 3.78 | 2584.48 | 4.73 | -1.47 | 2584.48 |
| Ry Drive | -1.83 | 7.32 | -5.54 | 30.44 | 2631.81 | -4.58 | 2631.81 |
| Rz Drive | 1.48 | 11.88 | 3.36 | 2.66 | -8.44 | 2524.51 | 2524.51 |

Table – Cartesian static testing reference table

**Acceptance criteria:**

For a positive drive in the Cartesian basis:

* Local sensor readout must have the same sign that the reference table
* Cartesian sensors read out must be positive in the drive direction

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 16- Frequency response

## Step 16.1 - Local to local measurements

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FREQ. RANGE | |  | DRIVE | | MEAS. TIME | | |
| Min | Max | Freq. Res. (Hz) | H | V | Time for 1 Rep. (s) | Number of Reps | Estimated duration (min) |
| 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 3500 | 3500 | 620 | 10 | 103.3 |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 420 | 420 | 320 | 30 | 160 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 0.025 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 260 | 55 | 238.3 |
| 5 | 200 | 0.1 | 140 | 140 | 80 | 50 | 66.7 |
| 200 | 1000 | 0.2 | 140 | 140 | 50 | 150 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Estimated Measurement Time (h) | | 11.6 |

Table – Transfer function settings, by frequency band

**Data collection script files:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common//Transfer\_Function\_Scripts/

* Run\_TF\_L2L\_10mHz\_100mHz.m
* Run\_TF\_L2L\_100mHz\_500mHz.m
* Run\_TF\_L2L\_500mHz\_5Hz.m
* Run\_TF\_L2L\_5Hz\_100Hz.m
* Run\_TF\_L2L\_100Hz\_1000Hz.m

**Data files in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_L2L\_10mHz\_100mHz\_20120522-011123.mat
* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_L2L\_100mHz\_500mHz\_20120521-222957.mat
* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_L2L\_500mHz\_5Hz\_20120521-184031.mat
* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_L2L\_5Hz\_200Hz\_20120521-174707.mat
* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_L2L\_200Hz\_1000Hz\_20120521-162943.mat

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Scripts/Control\_Scripts/

* Step\_1\_TF\_Loc\_to\_Loc\_X1\_ISI\_HAMX

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/ Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Undamped/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_to\_CPS\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_to\_GS13\_2012\_05\_22.fig

**Storage of measured transfer functions in the SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Transfer\_functions/ Simulations/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_Unit\_5\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_2012\_05\_22.mat




Figure - local-to-Local Measurements – Capacitive sensors







Figure - local-to-Local Measurements – Inertial sensors


## Step 16.2 – GS13 Response extraction


Plots for the extracted responses are presented below.















**figure – GS13 extracted responses VS Huddle test responses**



**figure – Extracted GS13 responses comparison**

Issues/difficulties encountered during this test:

* The Electronics and/or Digital filters used for the initial huddle testing seem to have varied along the huddle testing campaign. Gains vary from one sensor to another. Resonance frequencies are, however, unaffected which allow us to analyze their evolution.
* Lots of pods have encountered rework since the huddle testing campaign. The rework operations, such as flexure replacement or preamplifier replacement, did most likely affect the frequency responses of the instruments. Hence, these modifications would be a good explanation for the resonance frequency shifts observed between the huddle test and the extracted response of some pods.

GS13 response extraction plots under the SVN at:

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Instrument\_Responses/GS13/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Fitted\_Responses\_Comparison.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H1\_Pod\_13\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H2\_Pod\_38\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H3\_Pod\_71\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V1\_Pod\_74\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V2\_Pod\_72\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V3\_Pod\_04\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Huddle.fig







**Acceptance criteria:**

* The resonance frequency shift between the initial huddle test measurement and the extracted response must be lower than 15%


**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 16.3 GS13 response fitting

Fitted responses for the GS13s are presented below.



**figure – Fitted responses of the GS13s**



**figure – Comparison of the fitted responses for the GS13s**

GS13 response fitting plots under the SVN at:

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Instrument\_Responses/GS13/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Fitted\_Responses\_Comparison.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H1\_Pod\_84\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H2\_Pod\_20\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_H3\_Pod\_37\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V1\_Pod\_74\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V2\_Pod\_72\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_GS13\_V3\_Pod\_04\_Extracted\_Response\_VS\_Fitt\_VS\_Huddle.fig


**Acceptance criteria:**

* The resonance frequency difference between the extracted response and the fitted response must be less than 5%
* The amplitude shift between the extracted response and the fitted response must be less than 10% between 0.1Hz and 100Hz.


**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## 16.4 Symmetrization Filters

Symmetrized Local to Local TFs are presented below.



**figure – Symmetrized L2L – Computed TFs – Capacitive sensors**



**figure – Symmetrized L2L – Computed TFs – Innertial sensors**

Symmetrization filters under the SVN at:

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Filters/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Filters\_20120522-134354.mat

Symmetrized L2L TFs under the SVN at:

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Simulations/Undamped/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Symmetrized\_from\_ACT\_to\_GS13\_2012\_05\_22
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Symmetrized\_from\_ACT\_to\_CPS\_2012\_05\_22

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 16.4 - Cartesian to Cartesian TF computation

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Scripts/Control\_Scripts/

* Step\_3\_TF\_Cart\_to\_Cart\_X1\_ISI\_HAMX.m

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/ Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Simulations/Undamped/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Symmetrized\_from\_ACT\_to\_CPS\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Symmetrized\_from\_ACT\_to\_GS13\_2012\_05\_22.fig

**Storage of measured transfer functions in the SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Transfer\_functions/Simulations/Undamped/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Raw\_2012\_05\_22.mat

The Cartesian to Cartesian transfer functions are presented below:




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian – computed - Capacitive sensors




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian - computed - Inertial sensors

**Acceptance criteria:**

* Local to local measurements
  + On CPS, the phase must be 0º at DC
  + On Geophones, the phase must be -90º at DC
  + Identical shape in each corner
* Cartesian to Cartesian measurements
  + On CPS, the phase must be 0º at DC
  + On Geophones, the phase must be -90º at DC
  + Identical shape X/Y and RX/RY

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 17 - Transfer function comparison with Reference

## Step 17.1 - Local to local - Comparison with Reference

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Scripts/Control\_Scripts/

* Step\_1\_Plot\_TF\_L2L\_HAM\_Testing.m

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Testing\_Functions\_HAM\_ISI/

* Step\_1\_TF\_Loc\_to\_Loc\_X1\_ISI\_HAMX

**Local to local comparison figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/ HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/ Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Comparisons/L2L/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_CPS\_H\_vs\_UNIT\_1\_2012\_02\_02\_With\_3\_Washers\_Under\_Top\_Mass.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_CPS\_H\_vs\_UNIT\_1\_2012\_02\_02\_With\_3\_Washers\_Under\_Top\_Mass.pdf
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_GS13\_H\_vs\_UNIT\_1\_2012\_02\_02\_With\_3\_Washers\_Under\_Top\_Mass.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_GS13\_H\_vs\_UNIT\_1\_2012\_02\_02\_With\_3\_Washers\_Under\_Top\_Mass.pdf
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_L2L\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_V\_to\_CPS\_V\_vs\_UNIT\_1\_2012\_02\_02\_With\_3\_Washers\_Under\_Top\_Mass.fig




Figure – local-to-Local measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Capacitive Position Sensors - Horizontal motion




Figure – local-to-Local measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Capacitive Position Sensors - Vertical motion




Figure – local-to-Local measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Inertial Sensors - Horizontal motion



Figure – local-to-Local measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Inertial Sensors - Vertical motion

**Acceptance criteria:**

No difference with the reference transfer functions (Unit #1)

* + Phase – less than 10º - In Phase – Out of Phase
  + Damping (fit by eye with Reference transfer functions)
  + DC gain
  + Eigen frequencies shift less than 10%

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 17.2 - Cartesian to Cartesian - Comparison with Reference

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Scripts/Control\_Scripts/

* Step\_3\_TF\_Cart\_to\_Cart\_M1\_ISI\_HAMX.m

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Testing\_Functions\_HAM\_ISI/

* Plot\_TF\_C2C\_HAM\_Testing\_With\_LHO\_Unit\_1\_Reference.m

**Cartesian to Cartesian figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/ HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/ Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Comparisons/C2C/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_CPS\_H\_Symmetrized\_vs\_Unit\_1\_2012\_04\_04.fig X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_V\_to\_CPS\_V\_Symmetrized\_vs\_Unit\_1\_2012\_04\_04.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_H\_to\_GS13\_H\_Symmetrized\_vs\_Unit\_1\_2012\_04\_04.fig X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Raw\_from\_ACT\_V\_to\_GS13\_V\_Symmetrized\_vs\_Unit\_1\_2012\_04\_04.fig




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Capacitive Position Sensors - Horizontal motion




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Capacitive Position Sensors - Vertical motion




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference – Inertial Sensors

Horizontal motion




Figure – Cartesian to Cartesian measurements, comparison with Unit #1 reference

Inertial Sensors - Vertical motion

Issues/difficulties encountered during this test:

Unit #5’s Cartesian-TFs were simulated. Coherence is not available.

**Acceptance criteria:**

* No difference with the reference transfer functions (SVN)
  + Phase – less than 10º - In Phase – Out of Phase
  + Damping (fit by eye with Reference transfer functions)
  + DC gain
  + Eigen frequencies shift less than 10%

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Note**:

Phase shifts on GS13s, that are not observed on CPSs, can be associated to the difference in response between the GS13s.

## Step 18 - Lower Zero Moment Plane

**Data collection script files:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Transfer\_Function\_Scripts/

* Run\_TF\_C2C\_10mHz\_100mHz\_LZMP\_HAM\_ISI.m

**Data files in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_HAM\_Unit\_5\_Data\_TF\_C2C\_10mHz\_100mHz\_LZMP\_20120523-163904.mat

**Scripts files for processing and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/Testing\_Functions\_HAM\_ISI/

* LZMP\_HAM\_ISI.m

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/ Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Measurements/Undamped/

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_LZMP\_20120523.fig

The result of the measurement performed is presented below. Symmetrization filters are engaged. Measurement was performed over 46h (800 averages), during the weekend.





Figure - Lower Zero Moment Plane – Main and cross couplings at low frequency

**Acceptance criteria:**

* X offset must be less than 2 mm
* Y offset must be less than 2 mm

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 19 - Damping loops

In this step, HAM6 damping loops are implemented. First, damping performances are evaluated in simulation. Second, Damping loops are implemented and performance is experimentally measured.

## Step 19.1 – Simulation of damping performance - TF

**Continuous HAM6 filters are located in the SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/Common/HAM6\_Main\_Results/

* HAM6\_LLO\_Damping\_Filters.mat

**Scripts files used to evaluate damping loops performance from measurementsare located in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Scripts/Control\_Scripts/

* Step\_4\_Damping\_Filters\_X1\_ISI\_HAMX.m

**TF Data file is located in the SVN at:**


/seismic/HAM-ISI/X2/Data/Unit\_2/Transfer\_Functions/Simulations/Damping/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_C2C\_Damped\_2012\_05\_22.mat

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Transfer\_Functions/Simulations/Damped/

* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_RX\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_RX\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_RY\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_RY\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_RZ\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_RZ\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_X\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_X\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_Y\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_Y\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_Damping\_TF\_MIMO\_ST1\_ACT\_Z\_to\_ST1\_GS13\_Z\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_RX\_to\_GS13\_RX\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_RY\_to\_GS13\_RY\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_RZ\_to\_GS13\_RZ\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_X\_to\_GS13\_X\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_Y\_to\_GS13\_Y\_2012\_05\_22.fig
* X1\_ISI\_HAMX\_TF\_Damped\_SISO\_ACT\_Z\_to\_GS13\_Z\_2012\_05\_22.fig






Figure – Simulated damping performances – X axis

**Acceptance criteria:**

* HAM6 damping loops must implemented and stable with
  + Phase margin must be at least 45º
  + Gain margin must be at least 20dB

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

## Step 19.2 – Experiemental evaluation of damping performance - Spectra

**Scripts files for taking data and plotting in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/Scripts/Data\_Collection/

* Master\_TEST\_X1\_ISI\_Unit\_5.m (lines 99 to 114)

**Data files in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Spectra/Damped/

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_m\_CPS\_GS13\_Undamped\_vs\_Damped\_ 2012\_05\_07\_103121.mat

**Figures in SVN at:**

/SeiSVN/seismic/HAM-ISI/X1/HAMX/Data/Figures/Spectra/Damped/

* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_CT\_CPS\_CART\_Undamped\_vs\_Damped 2012\_05\_22\_142540.fig
* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_CT\_GS13\_CART\_Undamped\_vs\_Damped2012\_05\_22\_142540.fig
* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_m\_CPS\_CART\_Undamped\_vs\_Damped2012\_05\_22\_142540.fig
* LHO\_ISI\_UNIT\_5\_ASD\_m\_GS13\_CART\_Undamped\_vs\_Damped2012\_05\_22\_142540.fig
* LLO\_HAM\_ISI\_Unit\_5\_Calibrated\_PSD\_CPS\_Undamped\_Damped2012\_05\_22\_142540.fig

Issues/difficulties encountered during this test:

Like for Unit #2 and 4, damping was slightly overestimated along Z-axis.




Figure - Damped/Undamped GS-13 Power Spectra comparison

**Acceptance criteria:**

* HAM6 damping loop must stable when all damping loops are engaged
* Similar damping effect than in simulated plots

**Test result: Passed: X Failed: .**

**Generic control scripts were updated after testing was performed. This should only impact the experimental evaluation of damping loops’ performances.**

# HAM-ISI Unit #5 testing summary

HAM-ISI Unit #5 was assembled during April 2012. The testing of this Unit is presented here. It started on May 2nd 2012 and lasted until May 8th 2012 with the testing horizontal GS13s.

These Horizontal GS13s featured non-consistent behavior after reception at LHO. Investigation has been performed at LLO for instruments featuring the same symptoms (LLO aLog 2909). It revealed that the screws of some flexures were not correctly torqued anymore and that they needed *lock tight* in order to remain secured during shipping. Hence, the horizontal GS13s initially used for testing were considered as “testing instruments”. They were removed from the assembly at the end of the *assembly validation*, to be replaced with production pods. Tests involving GS13s were performed with the new set of production pods between May 21st and May 24th 2012.

Particularities:

* Full set of production GS13s
* No L4Cs
* No L4C brackets

**FAILED AND WAIVED TESTS**

## List of tests that failed and don’t need to be redone:

**Step I.1:** Failed on CPS-H1 at 0.1Hz. Its spectrum is however very close from our requirement.

**Step III.2**:Excessive standard deviation on CPSs is associated to ground motion (SEI logbook, entry #15). Sensor noise is acceptable.

## Tests that failed and need to be done during phase II

**Step III.14**: Actuators appear to be linear on measurements. However, deviation from average slope is out of spec. It seems to correlate with cable+actuator resistance measurements. Make sure that linearity test results correlate with the final field cables.

This scale factor, which varies from an actuation point to another, could be corrected with an adjustment gain applied on the excitation signal sent to the actuators.

**Step 19.2:** The implementation method for the damping loops was updated since testing. Damping loops’ experimental performance need to be re-evaluated. However, this test is not mandatory for the assembly validation and is just performed as a preparation for further commissioning.

## List of test that were skipped and that we will not do because they are not essential

**Step III.3**: Sensor gap measurement with a jig. Waved to avoid scratching targets. Distance between sensor and target has also been checked during the assembly while adjusting target distance.